

4: Computing on Encrypted Data

- Definition
- Applications
 - Privacy preserving computations
 - Delegation
 - Secure multi-party computation w. minimal communication.
- Construction

Fully Homomorphic Encryption

A notion suggested by [Rivest-Adleman-Dertouzos 78]

$$\text{Enc}(PK, b_1), \text{Enc}(PK, b_2)$$



$$\text{Enc}(PK, b_1 + b_2), \text{Enc}(PK, b_1 \cdot b_2)$$

First construction: [Gentry 2009]

Applications:

- Private delegation:

A user can delegate all her private data to the cloud, by using FHE.

The cloud can perform computations on the encrypted data, blindly, without learning any information.

- Secure computations w. minimal communication

- Verifiable computations.

•
•
•

Construction [Gentry-Sahai-Waters]

Uses Lattice-based cryptography

Assumption: Learning with Error

It is hard to solve noisy linear equations.

Namely: For random $D \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_g^n$, $a_1, \dots, a_m \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_g^m$
 $m \gg n$

Given

$$\begin{array}{l} a_1, D \cdot a_1 + e_1 \\ a_2, D \cdot a_2 + e_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_m, D \cdot a_m + e_m \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{hard}} D$$

Decisional version:

$$\begin{array}{l} a_1, D a_1 + e_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_m, D a_m + e_m \end{array} \stackrel{||}{\sim} \begin{array}{l} a_1, u_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_m, u_m \end{array}$$

- We do not know how to break this assumption with quantum attacks! (as opposed to Factoring & DL)

- No sub-exp alg known.
- Reduces to worst-case hardness assumption on lattices.

The scheme

KeyGen:
$$PK = \begin{cases} A = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ a_1 & \dots & a_m \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_g^{k \times m} \\ \{ \alpha A + e \quad - m \text{ noise linear eqns.} \end{cases}$$

\mathbb{Z}_g - large prime

Let
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ \alpha A + e \end{bmatrix}$$

$$SK = \alpha \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_g^k$$

$$t = (-\alpha, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_g^{\frac{n}{k+1}} \quad \text{s.t. } t \cdot B \approx 0$$

Encrypt (b):

Uses fixed (eff. computable) matrix $G \in \mathbb{Z}_g^{n \times m}$

efficiently computable function $G^{-1}: \mathbb{Z}_g^{n \times m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_g^{m \times m}$

s.t. $G^{-1}(M) \in \{0, 1\}^{m \times m}$ & $G \cdot \underbrace{G^{-1}(M)}_{\text{bit decomposition}} = M$

$$\text{Enc}(b) : BR + bG \quad R \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{m \times m}$$

Dec(t, C): Compute $t \cdot C$. if $t \cdot C \approx 0$
 then output $b=0$.
 Otherwise output $b=1$.

Semantic security: Follows from the LWE
 assumption: $B \cong U$

If B was uniform then BR would be truly
 random (given B), and thus $BR + bG$
 would hide b information theoretically.

Homomorphic Operations:

$$C_1 = BR_1 + b_1 G$$

$$C_2 = BR_2 + b_2 G$$

$$C^+ = C_1 + C_2 = B \underbrace{(R_1 + R_2)}_{\text{small}} + (b_1 + b_2) G$$

$$\begin{aligned} C^x &= C_1 \cdot G^{-1}(C_2) = B(R_1 \cdot G^{-1}(C_2)) + b_1 C_2 \\ &= B(R_1 \cdot G^{-1}(C_2) + b_1 R_2) + b_1 b_2 G \end{aligned}$$