

Today:

- Gap groups & bilinear maps
- BLS (Boneh-Lynn-Shacham) signatures
- Three-way key agreement (Joux)
- Identity-based encryption (Boneh-Franklin)

Gap Groups

A Gap group is a group where:

Decisional Diffie-Hellman

- DDH is easy

(Recall DDH: $(g, g^a, g^b, g^c) \stackrel{\text{comp. indistinguishable}}{\approx} (g, g^a, g^b, g^{a \cdot b})$)

Comp. Diffie-Hellman

- CDH is easy

(Recall CDH: $(g, g^a, g^b) \xrightarrow{\text{HARD}} g^{ab}$)

Note: CDH is easy \implies DDH is easy

This difference between DDH ("easy") and CDH ("hard") forms a "gap".

Q1: How can we construct "gap groups"?

Q2: What good would that be?

Bilinear maps

Suppose: G_1 group of prime order g , w. generator g
 G_2 group of prime " " " " h .

[We use mult. notation for both groups].

& there exists a bilinear map

$$e: G_1 \times G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$$

s.t.

$$\forall a, b \in G_1 \quad e(g^a, g^b) = e(g, g)^{a \cdot b}$$

$$e(g, g) = h.$$

$$\Rightarrow e(g^a, g^b) = e(g^{ab}, g) = e(g^b, g^a) = e(g, g^{ab}) = \dots$$

Bilinear maps are also called pairing functions

They have numerous applications

Thm: If there exists a bilinear map

$$e: G_1 \times G_1 \rightarrow G_2$$

between two groups of prime order

then DDH is easy in G_1

Pf: Given (g, g^a, g^b, g^c)

Check if $e(g^a, g^b) = e(g, g^c)$

if so output " $c=ab$ "

o.w. " c is random."

□

Note: Even though DDH is easy in G_1 ,

CDH may still be hard.

I.e., we may have a gap group.

How to construct a gap group w. bilinear maps?

This is not simple!

G_1 is an elliptic curve (w. certain properties)

e (bilinear map) is a "Weil pairing" or a

"Tate pairing".

Application 1: Digital Signatures

(Boneh-Lynn-Shacham 2001)

Signatures are short (eg. 160 bits)!

Public Params:

- Groups G_1, G_2 of prime order q
- Pairing function $e: G_1 \times G_1 \rightarrow G_2$
- $g =$ generator of G_1
- $H =$ hash function (coll. resistant) from msgs to G_1

KeyGen: $SK = x \leftarrow \{1, \dots, q-1\}$

$$PK = y = g^x \quad (\text{in } G_1)$$

$$\text{Sign}(m): \sigma = (H(m))^x \quad (\text{in } G_1)$$

Verify($\underbrace{PK}_{y}, m, \sigma$):

$$\text{Check } e(g, \sigma) = e(y, H(m))$$

$$\qquad \qquad \qquad \underbrace{\qquad \qquad \qquad}_{e(g, H(m))^x}$$

Thm: BLS sig scheme is existentially unforgeable

against chosen msg attacks in ROM, assuming CDH is hard in G_1 .

Application 2: 3-Way Key Agreement

[Joux, generalizing DH]

Recall DH: $A \rightarrow B : g^a$
 $B \rightarrow A : g^b$
 key = g^{ab}

Joux: Let G_1, G_2 be groups w. ^{prime order} bilinear map
 $e: G_1 \times G_1 \rightarrow G_2$
 & let g be generator of G_1

$A \rightarrow B, C : g^a$
 $B \rightarrow A, C : g^b$
 $C \rightarrow A, B : g^c$

A computes $e(g^b, g^c)^a = e(g, g)^{abc}$
 B " $e(g^a, g^c)^b =$ "
 C " $e(g^a, g^b)^c =$ "

$$\text{key} = e(g, g)^{abc}$$

Secure assuming Bilinear Diffie-Hellman (BDH) problem is hard:

Given g, g^a, g^b, g^c, e
hard to compute $e(g, g)^{abc}$

4-way key-agreement? Open!
(Multi linear maps!)

Application 3: Identity-based Encryption (IBE)
[Boneh-Franklin 01]

TTP (trusted third party) publishes

G_1, G_2, e (bilinear map), g (generator for G_1), y
where $y = g^a$, a is TTP's master secret.

Let H_1 be RO mapping names (eg. "alice@mit.edu")
to elements in G_1 .

Let H_2 be RO mapping G_2 to msg space.

Goal: Enable anyone to encrypt msg for Alice,

Knowing only TTP public parameters & Alice's name.

Encrypt ($\overset{pp}{y}$, name, m):

$r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_g^*$ ($g = |G_1| = |G_2|$ is prime).

Let $g_A = e(H_1(\text{name}), y)$

Output $(g^r, m \oplus H_2(g_A^r))$

Decrypt ciphertext $c = (u, v)$:

• Alice obtains $d_A = (H_1(\text{name}))^a$ from TTP.

↑
Alice's secret key!
Needs to obtain this only once.

Note: TTP also knows it.

• Compute:

$$\begin{aligned} & v \oplus H_2(e(d_A, u)) \\ &= v \oplus H_2(e(H_1(\text{name})^a, g^r)) \\ &= v \oplus H_2(e(H_1(\text{name}), g)^{a \cdot r}) \\ &= v \oplus H_2(e(H_1(\text{name}), g^a)^r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= v \oplus H_2(e(H_1(\text{name}), y)^r) \\
 &= v \oplus H_2(g_A^r) \\
 &= m
 \end{aligned}$$

Security: Semantically secure in ROM assuming
BDH (Bilinear Diffie-Hellman Assumption)

$$\left(\text{Given } \underset{y}{g^a}, \underset{H_1(\text{name})}{g^r}, \underset{H_1(\text{name})}{Q} \xrightarrow{\text{HARD}} e(Q, g)^{ar} \right)$$