6.852 Lecture 11

- Logical time
- Replicated state machines
- Reading: Chapter 18, Lamport paper (1978)

"Jim Gray once told me that he heard two different opinions of this paper: that's it trivial and that it's brilliant. I can't argue with the former, and I'm disinclined to argue with the latter." —Lamport

- Simplify asynchronous setting by making it appear sequential (cf. synchronizers)
- Problem: assign "logical time" to all events in an execution, should "look like real time"
 - each process should know the logical time of its events
- Ordering events at different locations
 - the problem of simultaneity (cf. relativity, interleaving semantics)
 - causality and the "happens before" relation
- Applications
 - global snapshot
 - replicated state machines



- Consider a send/receive system with FIFO channels
 - user interface events
 - send/receive events
 - internal events of process automata
- What conditions must logical times satisfy?

- For execution α , function **Itime** from events in α to reals is a **logical time assignment** if:
 - 1. **Itimes** are distinct: **Itime**(e_1) \neq **Itime**(e_2) if $e_1 \neq e_2$
 - 2. Itimes of events at each process are monotonically increasing
 - 3. **Itime**(send) < **Itime**(receive) for same message
 - 4. for any t, number of events e with **ltime**(e) < t is finite
- Theorem: For all fair execs α , there is an fair exec α' with events in **Itime** order such that $\alpha'|P_i = \alpha|P_i$ for all i.
 - Itime "looks like real time" (indistinguishable to each process)
 - use properties of Itime to prove
 - \bullet unique α' by properties 1 and 4
 - indistinguishable to each process by causality (prop 2 and 3)





- Initial algorithm by Lamport
 - based on timestamping algorithm by Johnson and Thomas
 - each process maintains local "clock" (a natural number)
 - every event of process increases clock by at least 1
 - every event increments clock
 - on every msg sent, piggyback clock value (after incrementing)
 - when msg received,
 - take max of current clock and value in msg, then increment

- Initial algorithm by Lamport
 - each process maintains local "clock" (a natural number)
 - every event increments clock
 - on every msg sent, piggyback clock value (after incrementing)
 - when msg received,
 - take max of current clock and value in msg, then increment
 - logical time of an event is (c,i)
 - c = clock value immediately after event
 - i = process index, to break ties
 - number of processes must be finite

- What if we already have clocks?
 - monotone, nondecreasing, unbounded
 - can't change the clock (maintained by external service)
- Alternative algorithm by Welch
 - Idea: delay "early" messages
 - msgs sent carry clock value
 - buffer msgs received until local clock value ≥ msg clock value
 - logical time of event is (c,i,k)
 - c = local clock value when event "occurs" (well-defined?)
 - receive events "occur" when removed from buffer
 - i = process index
 - k = sequence number (second-order tiebreaker)

- Analogous definition for broadcast systems:
- For execution α , function **Itime** from events in α to reals is a logical time assignment if:
 - 1. Itimes are distinct: Itime(e_1) \neq Itime(e_2) if $e_1 \neq e_2$
 - 2. Itimes of events at each process are monotonically increasing
 - 3. **Itime**(bcast) < **Itime**(receive) for same message
 - 4. for any t, number of events e with **ltime**(e) < t is finite
- Theorem: For all fair execs α , there is an fair exec α' with events in **Itime** order such that $\alpha'|P_i = \alpha|P_i$ for all i.

- Banking system with transfers (no deposit/withdrawal)
 - asynchronous send/receive system
 - each process has an "account" with **money** ≥ 0
 - processes can send money at any time to anyone
 - send message with value, subtract value from money
 - add value received in messages to money
 - add "dummy" transfers (heartbeat msgs)
 - each process should output local balance
 - triggered by input action some process(es)
 - processes can awaken other processes that didn't receive input
 - sum of outputs should be equal to total money in system
 - well-defined because there are no deposits/withdrawals

- Assume logical-time algorithm
 - each process knows logical time for each of its events
- Use algorithm that assumes agreed-upon logical time t
 - each process determines value of money at logical time t
 - after all events with **Itime** \leq t and before all events with **Itime** > t
 - for each incoming channel, determine amount in transit at time t
 - in messages sent at Itime ≤ t and received at Itime > t
 - count from when local clock > t, stop when msg timestamp > t
- What if local clock > t when node wakes up?
 - keep logs
 - try with different values of t

- Global snapshot
 - generalization of banking system example
 - given arbitrary algorithm on asynchronous send/receive system
 - want instantaneous global snapshot of system state
 - some "time" after a "triggering" action (typically an input)
 - must not stop entire system
 - useful for debugging, system backups, detecting termination

- Replicated state machines
 - important use of logical time: focal point of Lamport's paper
 - make distributed system simulate any centralized state machine
 - no fault-tolerance

- Centralized state machine
 - -V: set of possible states
 - v₀: initial state
 - invs: set of possible invocations
 - resps: set of possible responses
 - trans: transition function: invs $\times V \rightarrow$ resps $\times V$
- Users of distributed system submit invocations, get responses in well-formed manner (blocking invocations)
 - want system to look like "atomic" variable (Chapter 13)
 - could weaken requirement to "sequential consistency"
 - no fault-tolerance

- Assume broadcast network
- Each process maintains
 - X: copy of simulated variable
 - inv-buffer: invocations it has heard about and their timestamps
 - timestamp based on logical time of bcast event
 - known-time: vector of "latest" logical times for each process
 - for itself: logical time of last event
 - for others: logical time of latest bcast event received
- Perform invocation $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ in inv-buffer when
 - π has smallest timestamp of any invocation in its inv-buffer, and
 - known-time(j) \geq timestamp(π) for all j
 - respond if π was invoked locally

Correctness

- Liveness (termination)
 - requires unbounded logical time at each process...
 - and for other processes to know about it
- Safety (looks like centralized system)
 - each process applies operations in the same (logical time) order
 - "serialize" when all processes have reached logical time of bcast
 - this is called the "serialization point" (or "linearization point")

- why is this in the operation's "interval"

• requires FIFO channels to make sure that no invocations are "late"

- Special handling for "reads"
 - don't bcast: just perform locally
 - atomicity?
 - sequential consistency

Vector timestamps

- Logical time imposes a **total** order
 - this orders events that don't need to be ordered
- Weak logical time
 - same properties 1-4 as before, but
 - logical times are only partially ordered
- Vector timestamps
 - weak logical time
 - logical times ordered if and only if events are causally ordered
 - each process maintains "known time" of every process
 - send entire vector with each msg

Next lecture

- Consistent global snapshots
- Stable property detection
- Reading: Chapter 19