

In-Class Problems — Week 5, Mon

The Variable Convention

Problem. A Scheme expression satisfies the “Variable Convention” if no variable identifier is bound more than once, and no identifier has both bound and unbound occurrences. For example, the expression

```
(let ((x 2) (y 5))
  (+ ((lambda (x) (+ x 1)) 3) ((lambda (z) (+ x y z 11)) 99) z)).
```

violates the Variable Convention because x is bound twice—once by `let` and once by `lambda`, and also because z has both a bound and an unbound occurrence.

Any expression can be slightly modified to satisfy the Convention solely by adding integer suffixes to some of the bound identifiers—in a way that preserves all the binding structure and all the computational behavior of the original expression.

For example, by adding suffix 0 to the x 's and z 's bound by the `lambda`'s, we obtain an equivalent expression which satisfies the Variable Convention:

```
(let ((x 2) (y 5))
  (+ ((lambda (x0) (+ x0 1)) 3) ((lambda (z0) (+ x y z0 11)) 99) z)).
```

Show how to add such suffixes to the identifiers in

```
(a b c d e
 (let ((a e) (b c))
  (a b c d e
   (letrec ((a c)(c b))
    (a b c d e))))))
```

to obtain an equivalent expression satisfying the Variable Convention. (See the Scheme reference manual to find out the scoping rules for `letrec`.)