

Appendix

1 The Meanings of Terms

Definition. A *model*, \mathcal{M} , for signature, Σ , consists a nonempty set, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}$, called the *domain* of \mathcal{M} , and a mapping that assigns an n -ary operation on the domain to each symbol of arity n in Σ . That is, letting $\llbracket f \rrbracket_0$ be the meaning of $f \in \Sigma$, we have for each f of arity $n > 0$,

$$\llbracket f \rrbracket_0 : (\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}})^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}},$$

and for each $c \in \Sigma$ of arity 0,

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

An \mathcal{M} -*valuation*, V , is a mapping from variables into the domain, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

The meaning, $\llbracket M \rrbracket$, of term, M , in model, \mathcal{M} , is a function from valuations to values in the domain. It is defined by structural induction on the definition of M :

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket x \rrbracket V &::= V(x) && \text{for each variable, } x, \\ \llbracket c \rrbracket V &::= \llbracket c \rrbracket_0 && \text{for each constant, } c \in \Sigma, \\ \llbracket f(M_1, \dots, M_n) \rrbracket V &::= \llbracket f \rrbracket_0(\llbracket M_1 \rrbracket V, \dots, \llbracket M_n \rrbracket V) && \text{for each } f \in \Sigma \text{ of arity } n > 0. \end{aligned}$$

1.1 The Meanings of Applications

Definition. For any function, F , and elements a, b , we define $F[a \leftarrow b]$ to be the function G such that

$$G(u) = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } u = a. \\ F(u) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can extend the set of terms to allow *applications* of the form $((\lambda(x)M) N)$ whose meaning is defined by the rule

$$\llbracket ((\lambda(x)M) N) \rrbracket V ::= \llbracket M \rrbracket (V[x \leftarrow \llbracket N \rrbracket V]).$$

2 Validity of Equations

Definition. An equation is *valid* in a model \mathcal{M} , written,

$$\mathcal{M} \models M = N,$$

iff $\llbracket M \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}} = \llbracket N \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}}$. When \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' are sets of equations, we write

$$\mathcal{M} \models \mathcal{E}$$

to mean that $\mathcal{M} \models M = N$ for each equation $(M = N) \in \mathcal{E}$. We write

$$\mathcal{E} \models \mathcal{E}'$$

to mean that

$$\mathcal{M} \models \mathcal{E} \quad \text{implies} \quad \mathcal{M} \models \mathcal{E}'$$

for every model, \mathcal{M} .

3 Substitution

Definition. A *substitution* is a mapping, σ , from a set of variables to terms. The notation

$$[x_1, \dots, x_n := M_1, \dots, M_n]$$

describes the substitution that maps variables x_1, \dots, x_n respectively to terms M_1, \dots, M_n , and maps all other variables to themselves.

Every substitution, σ , defines a mapping, $[\sigma]$, from terms to terms defined inductively as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} c[\sigma] ::= c & \text{for each constant, } c, \\ x[\sigma] ::= \sigma(x) & \text{for each variable, } x, \\ f(M_1, \dots, M_n)[\sigma] ::= f(M_1[\sigma], \dots, M_n[\sigma]) & \text{for each } f \in \Sigma \text{ of arity } n > 0, \\ ((\lambda(x)M) N)[\sigma] ::= ((\lambda(x')M[\sigma']) N[\sigma]) & \text{where } x' \text{ is fresh, and } \sigma' ::= \sigma[x \leftarrow x']. \end{array}$$

Lemma (General Substitution). Let σ be a substitution, V a valuation, and V_σ be the valuation such that

$$V_\sigma(x) ::= \llbracket \sigma(x) \rrbracket V$$

for all variables, x . Then for every term, M ,

$$\llbracket M[\sigma] \rrbracket V = \llbracket M \rrbracket V_\sigma,$$

4 Proofs

Definition. A *sequence-of-equations proof* is a finite sequence of *equations* such that every equation in the sequence follows from equations earlier in the sequence by one of the standard equational inference rules, starting from a given set of equational axioms.

An *substitution proof* is a sequence of *terms*,

$$M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n$$

such that M_{i+1} is the result of replacing a subterm, L_i , of M_i by a term, K_i , where $K_i = L_i$ or $L_i = K_i$ is a substitution instance of an axiom, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

5 Soundness & Completeness

Theorem (Axiomatic Completeness). $\mathcal{E} \models M = N$ iff $M = N$ is provable using the rules of Table 1.

Theorem (Arithmetic Completeness). An arithmetic equation $e = f$ is valid over the reals iff it is valid over the integers iff it is provable using the rules of Tables 1 and 2.

Theorem (Arithmetic Soundness). If an arithmetic equation or inequality is provable using the rules of Tables 1, 2, and 3, then it is valid over the integers.

Table 1: Standard Equational Inference Rules.

	\implies	$M[\sigma] = N[\sigma]$	(\mathcal{E} substitution)
		for $(M = N) \in \mathcal{E}$	
	\implies	$M = M$	(reflexivity)
$M = N$	\implies	$N = M$	(symmetry)
$L = M, M = N$	\implies	$L = N$	(transitivity)
$M_1 = N_1, \dots, M_n = N_n$	\implies	$f(M_1, \dots, M_n) = f(N_1, \dots, N_n)$	(congruence)
		when $\text{arity}(f) = n > 0$	

Table 2: Equational Axioms for Arithmetic

$(e + f) + g = e + (f + g)$	(associativity of +)
$(e \cdot f) \cdot g = e \cdot (f \cdot g)$	(associativity of ·)
$e + f = f + e$	(commutativity of +)
$e \cdot f = f \cdot e$	(commutativity of ·)
$0 + e = e$	(identity for +)
$1 \cdot e = e$	(identity for ·)
$e + (-e) = 0$	(inverse for +)
$e \cdot (f + g) = (e \cdot f) + (e \cdot g)$	(distributivity)

Table 3: Inference Rules for Inequalities.

$e = f \implies e \leq f$	(\leq -reflexivity)
$e \leq f, f \leq e \implies f = e$	(\leq -antisymmetry)
$e \leq f, f \leq g \implies e \leq g$	(\leq -transitivity)
$e_1 \leq e_2, f_1 \leq f_2 \implies e_1 + f_1 \leq e_2 + f_2$	(+ \leq -congruence)
$e_1 \leq e_2, 0 \leq f_1 \leq f_2 \implies e_1 \cdot f_1 \leq e_2 \cdot f_2$	(\cdot - \leq -congruence)
$e \leq f \implies -f \leq -e$	(-- \leq -congruence)
$\implies 0 \leq 1$	(01-axiom)