Final Examination, Unit 2

Your name:____

- This exam is **closed book** except for two 2-sided cribsheets. Total time is 180 minutes.
- Write your solutions in the space provided. If you need more space, write on the back of the sheet containing the problem.
- In answering the following questions, you may use without proof any of the results from class or text.

DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE

Problem	Points	Grade	Grader
1	30		
2	20		
Total	50		

^{2018,} Albert R Meyer. This work is available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 license.

Problem 1 (State Machines, Induction) (30 points).

Token replacing-1-3 is a single player game using a set of tokens, each colored black or white. In each move, a player can replace a black token with three white tokens, or replace a white token with three black tokens. We can model this game as a state machine whose states are pairs (b, w) of nonnegative integers, where b is the number of black tokens and w the number of white ones.

The game has two possible start states: (5, 4) or (4, 3). We call a state *reachable* if it is reachable from *at least one* of the two start states.

We call a state (b, w) *eligible* when

$$\operatorname{rem}(b - w, 4) = 1, \text{AND}$$
(1)

$$\min\{b, w\} \ge 3. \tag{2}$$

(Recall that rem(n, 4) denotes the number $0 \le r \le 3$ such that n = 4q + r for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.)

This problem examines the connection between eligible states and reachable states.

(a) Give an example of a reachable state that is not eligible. Explain.



(b) Show that the derived variable b + w is strictly increasing. Conclude that state (3, 2) is not reachable.

(c) Verify that rem(3b + w, 8) is a derived variable that is constant. Conclude that no state is reachable from both start states.

(d) Suppose (b, w) is eligible and $b \ge 6$. Verify that (b - 3, w + 1) is eligible.

We now prove that every eligible state is reachable. For the rest of the problem, you may—and should—**assume** the following Fact:

Fact. If $\max\{b, w\} \le 5$ and (b, w) is eligible, then (b, w) is reachable.

(This is easy to verify since there are only nine states with $b, w \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, but don't waste time doing this.)

(e) Define the predicate P(n) to be:

 $\forall (b, w) . [b + w = n \text{ AND } (b, w) \text{ is eligible}] \text{ IMPLIES } (b, w) \text{ is reachable.}$

Prove carefully by strong induction that P(n) is true for every integer $n \ge 0$. *Hint:* Prove and use the fact that P(n-1) IMPLIES P(n+1).

Problem 2 (**Relations, Predicates**) (**20 points**). (a) Let R be a binary relation on a set D. Each of the following formulas expresses the fact that R has a familiar relational property such as reflexivity, asymmetry, transitivity, etc. For each of the five predicate formulas below, identify the **name** of that property.

(1) $\forall c, d.$ $c \ R \ d$ IFF $d \ R \ c$	
(2) $\forall d$. NOT $(d R d)$	
(3) $\forall c, d.$ $c \ R \ d$ implies $\operatorname{NOT}(d \ R \ c)$	
(4) $\forall b, d$. [$\exists c. (b \ R \ c \ AND \ c \ R \ d$)] IMPLIES $b \ R \ d$	
(5) $\forall c \neq d$. $c \ R \ d$ IMPLIES NOT $(d \ R \ c)$	

(b) Below are five relational formulas encoding the **same** five familiar properties as in part (a), in scrambled order. Match these five relational formulas to the predicate formulas from part (a) by writing the **number** that each corresponds to. Your answers should simply be the numbers 1–5 in some order.

- (6) $R \cap \mathrm{Id}_D = \emptyset$
- (7) $R \subseteq R^{-1}$
- (8) $R \circ R \subseteq R$
- (9) $R \cap R^{-1} \subseteq \mathrm{Id}_D$
- (10) $R \cap R^{-1} = \emptyset$

In these formulas, Id_D is the "identity relation" on D, defined by $Id_D ::= \{(d, d) \mid d \in D\}$.