

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Mathematics for Computer Science

MIT 6.042J/18.062J

Noncomputable Sets



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2015

halting.1

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Computable strings in $\{0,1\}^\omega$

An infinite string s in $\{0,1\}^\omega$ is **computable** iff some **procedure** computes its digits. (Procedure applied to argument n returns n th digit of s .)



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2015

halting.2

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

$\{\text{ASCII}\}^*$ is **countable**

Only **countably** many finite **ASCII** strings. (List them in order of length.)

Procedures can be expressed in **ASCII**, so only **countably** many **procedures**.



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2015

halting.3

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Noncomputable strings in $\{0,1\}^\omega$

So only **countably** many computable infinite binary strings.

But $\{0,1\}^\omega$ is **uncountable**, so there must be **noncomputable** strings in $\{0,1\}^\omega$ —in fact, **uncountably** many!



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2015

halting.4

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Halting Problem

There is no test procedure for halting of arbitrary procedures.

The Halting Problem

is **not decidable**

by computational procedures



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2015

halting.5

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Halting Problem

String procedure **P** takes a String argument:

P("no") returns 2

P("albert") returns "meyer"

P("&&%99!!") causes an error

P("what now?") runs forever.



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.6

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Halting Problem

Let **s** be an ASCII string defining **P_s**.

Say **s HALTS** iff

P_s(s) returns something.



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.7

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Halting Problem

Suppose there was a procedure **Q** that decided

HALTS:

Q(s) returns "yes" if **s HALTS**

returns "no" otherwise



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.8

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Halting Problem

Modify Q to Q' :

$Q'(s)$ returns "yes"

if $Q(s)$ returns "no"

$Q'(s)$ returns nothing

if $Q(s)$ returns "yes"



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.9

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Halting Problem

So

s HALTS iff

$Q'(s)$ returns nothing



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.10

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Halting Problem

Let t be the text for Q'

So by def of HALTS:

t HALTS iff $Q'(t)$ returns

and by def of Q' :

$Q'(t)$ returns iff NOT(t HALTS)



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.11

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Halting Problem

CONTRADICTION:

t HALTS iff NOT(t HALTS)

There can't be such a Q :

it is impossible to write a

procedure that decides

whether strings HALT



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.12

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Type-checking Problem

There is no string procedure that type-checks perfectly, because:
 Suppose C was a type-checking procedure: for program text s $C(s)$ returns "yes" if s would cause a run-time type error returns "no" otherwise.



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.13

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Type-checking Problem

Use C to get a HALTS Tester H :
 to compute $H(s)$, construct a new program text, s' , that acts like a slightly modified interpreter for s . Namely:



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.14

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Type-checking Problem

- s' skips any command that would cause s to make a run-time type error.
- s' purposely makes a type-error when it finds that s HALTS.



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.15

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Type-checking Problem

Then compute $C(s')$ and return the same value.
 So s HALTS
 iff s' makes run-time type error
 iff $C(s') = \text{"yes"}$
 iff $H(s) = \text{"yes"}$



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.16

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

The Type-checking Problem

H solves the Halting Problem, a **contradiction**.
So **C** must **not** error check correctly.



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.18

6	9	13	7
12		10	5
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

No run-time properties are decidable

The same reasoning shows that there is **no perfect checker** for essentially **any** property of **procedure** outcomes.



Albert R Meyer, March 4, 2013

halting.19