Massachusetts Institute of Technology
6.042J/18.062J: Mathematics for Computer Science
Professor David Karger

Lecture 22
May 6, 1997

Notes 22

1 Random Variables

Definition 1.1 A *random variable* R on sample space S is a function $R : S \to \mathbb{R}$.

Note defined with respect to a sample space—associates a value with each point.

Example 1 Let S = outcomes of 3 coin tosses = {HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT, THH, THT, TTH, TTT}.

- R_H = number of H in outcome. So $R_H(HHH) = 3, R_H(HHT) = 2$.
- $R_M(p) = 1$ if all coins match, 0 otherwise. So $R_M(HHH) = 1, R_M(HHT) = 0$. R_M is an *indicator* or *characteristic* random variable: 1 if event happens, 0 otherwise.

Note **functions**: $R_H : S \to \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $R_M : S \to \{0, 1\}$

Definition 1.2 An *indicator* (or *Bernoulli* or *characteristic*) random variable is a random variable with range 0,1. The Bernoulli random variable takes a value of 1 when the result of a Bernoulli trial (i.e. coin toss) is a success (success happens when the event that we are interested in happens, i.e. heads, tails) and 0 when it is a failure (i.e.(tails, heads).

A random variable partitions S into events (subsets of sample points).

"$R = x$" corresponds to the event $\{p \in S | R(p) = x\}$

Note that indicators partition the sample space into two events.



Definition 1.3

$$Pr(R = x) \equiv \sum_{p \in S : R(p) = x} Pr(p)$$

For example,
$Pr(R_H = 2) = Pr(\{HHT, HTH, THH\}) = 3/8$
$Pr(R_M = 1) = Pr(\{HHH, TTT\}) = 1/4$

We can also define the probability that a random variable is within some range or in some subset of specific values.

Example 2 $Pr(R_H \geq 2) = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} Pr(R_H = i) = 1/4$

or, for $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} : Pr(R_H \in A) = \sum_{a \in A} Pr(R = a)$

Our random variables will be *discrete–range*, *finite*, or *countably infinite* (ie, as here or $R : S \to \mathbb{N}$). There are also *continuous* random variables with values in the reals; for these sums must be replaced by integrals.

2 Independence of Random Variables

Recall, events E_1 and E_2 are independent if $Pr(E_1 \cap E_2) = Pr(E_1)Pr(E_2)$.

Definition 2.1 Random variables R_1 and R_2 are *independent* if $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$Pr(R_1 = x \wedge R_2 = y) = Pr(R_1 = x)Pr(R_2 = y)$$

The coins being fair and mutually independent (so each $P \in S$ has probability $1/8$) does not mean R_H and R_M are independent, because
$Pr(R_H = 2 \wedge R_M = 1) = 0 \neq Pr(R_H = 2)Pr(R_M = 1) = \frac{3}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{4}$.

Intuition: knowing the value of one variable biases the distribution of the other.

The picture, with area proportional to probability:



R_1, R_2 independent R_1, R_2 not independent

Note that independence of random variables covers *all pairs* of "value events."

Example 3 Suppose we roll two independent fair dice to get values D_1 and D_2. Let $T = D_1 + D_2$ be the sum of values. Let $S = 1$ if $T = 7$ and 0 otherwise.

- T and D_1 are not independent since, for example, $\Pr(D_1 = 6 \mid T = 2) = 0 \neq Pr(T = 2) = 1/36$.
- T and S are not independent since knowing T completely determines S
- S and D_1 are independent. $Pr(S = 1 \mid D_1 = 1) = 1/6 = Pr(S = 1)$
  $Pr(S = 1 \mid D_1 = 2) = 1/6 = Pr(S = 1)$
  $Pr(S = 1 \mid D_1 = 6) = 1/6 = Pr(S = 1)$
  $Pr(S = 0 \mid D_1 = 1) = 5/6 = Pr(S = 0)$
  $Pr(S = 0 \mid D_1 = 2) = 5/6 = Pr(S = 0)$
  $Pr(S = 0 \mid D_1 = 6) = 5/6 = Pr(S = 0)$

The definition of mutually independent random variables works in the same way.

Definition 2.2 Given a random variable R, the *probability distribution function (pdf)* for R is $f(x) \equiv Pr(R = x)$

Definition 2.3 Given a random variable R, the *cumulative distribution function* for R is $F(x) \equiv Pr(R \leq x) = \sum_{y \leq x} f(y)$

Example 4 For a *Bernoulli* random variable
$f(0) = 1 - p$ $\quad$ $F(0) = 1 - p$
$f(1) = p$ $\quad$ $F(1) = 1$

For a *uniform* random variable $R : S \rightarrow [1, N]$
$f(k) = \frac{1}{N}$ $\quad$ $F(k) = \frac{k}{N}$

3 The Binomial Distribution

We now study what is perhaps the most common random variable distribution in computer science: the binomial distribution.

Definition 3.1 The *binomial distribution* is given by

$$f_{n,p}(k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

$f_{n,p}(k)$ is the probability that we have k successes in n independent identically distributed Bernoulli trials where the probability of a success is p. Sanity check: is this a probability distribution?

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k f_{n,p}(k) &= \sum \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \\ &= (p + (1-p))^n \quad \text{(binomial theorem)} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

A special case is the *unbiased binomial distribution* which is the binomial distribution with $p = 1/2$.

$$f_n(k) = \binom{n}{k} 2^{-n}$$

Example 5 Given a system with n components each of which fails with probability p find the probability distribution function for the number of failures.

solution
We are interested in the number of failures in n components. Thus, let R = number of

failures and compute $f(k) = Pr(R = k)$
Obviously, R is a binomial random variable.

1. The sample space S consists of 2^n binary strings. (Say, a 0 corresponds to a failure)
2. The probability to get a sample point with k failures is $p^k(1-p)^{n-k}$
3. Identify the event of interest. A = sample points with k failures.
4. Compute the probability of the event.

$$f(k) = Pr(R = k) = Pr(E) = \sum_A p^k(1-p)^{n=k} = |A|p^k(1-p)^{n-k} = \binom{n}{k}p^k(1-p)^{n-k}$$

We get a binomial distribution. In general, we get a binomial distribution whenever we have n independent Bernoulli events with the same distribution.

3.1 Asymptotics

It's hard to see the qualitative behavior of the binomial distribution for large k and n.

Lets consider case $p = 1/2$: uniform distribution. Each outcome has probability

$$f_{n,1/2}(k) = \binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n$$

Suppose I flip 100 coins

- what is most likely number of heads?
- what probability of exactly 50 heads?
- what probability of exactly 25 heads
- what probability less than 25 heads?
- what probability of exactly 25 heads, given at most 25?

vote: 1, .5, .1, .01, .000001

3.1.1 The central term

Where is $f_{n,p}(k)$ maximized?

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n,p}(k)/f_{n.p}(k-1) &= \frac{n-k+1)p}{k(1-p)} \\ &= 1 + \frac{(n+1)p-k}{k(1-p)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f_{n,p}(k)$ increases until $k = np$, decreases after.

What is the value at the central term? Let's consider $p = 1/2$, so the central term is at $k = n/2$:

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(\frac{n}{2}\text{heads}) &= \binom{n}{n/2}2^{-n} \\ &= \frac{n!}{\frac{n}{2}!\frac{n}{2}!2^n} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n e^{a_n}}{\left(\sqrt{\pi n}\left(\frac{n}{2e}\right)^{n/2} e^{a_n/2}\right)^2 2^n} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n}}{\pi n}\frac{n^n(2e)^n}{e^n n^n 2^n}\frac{e^{a_n}}{e^{2a_{n/2}}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}}e^{a_n - 2a_{n/2}} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\frac{1}{12n+1} \leq a_n \leq \frac{1}{12n}$
so $\frac{1}{12n+1} - \frac{2}{6n} \leq a_n - 2a_{n/2} \leq \frac{1}{12n} - \frac{2}{6n+1}$. Therefore $e^{a_n - 2a_{n/2}} = e^{-\frac{1}{4n} - O(1/n^2)} \to 1$.
So $Pr(\frac{n}{2}heads) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}} = \Theta(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$

For $n = 100$ we have
$.079589176 \leq Pr(\frac{n}{2}heads) \leq .079589671$
So Stirling's formula gives very tight bounds.

3.1.2 The tails

In general, for $k = \alpha n, \alpha \leq 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(\alpha\text{n heads}) &= \binom{n}{k}2^{-n} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(\alpha n)!(1-\alpha n)!2^n} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha(1-\alpha)n}}2^{n(H(\alpha)-1)} \end{aligned}$$

where $H(\alpha) = \alpha\log_2(1/\alpha) + (1-\alpha)\log_2(\frac{1}{1-\alpha})$ is the *entropy function.* It arises in the study

of how much information is carried in a binary string with a fraction α of the bits set to one, and thus in the study of error correction over faulty communication links.



Lemma 3.2 For α a constant $\neq 1/2$, $f_{n,1/2}(\alpha n)$ is exponentially small in n.

In other words, as n increases, the likely values become concentrated more and more tightly around $\alpha = 1/2$.

Example 6

$$\binom{n}{n/4} \sim \sqrt{\frac{8}{3\pi n}} 2^{0.811n}$$

$$f_{n,1/2}(n/4) \sim \sqrt{\frac{8}{3\pi n}} 2^{-0.189n} \text{ exponentially small}$$

Returning to our beginning questions,

$$Pr(25 \text{ heads}) = f_{100,1/2}(25) \approx 1.913 \times 10^{-7}$$

Can also explain things "near the middle:"

For $\beta = o(\sqrt{n}), f(n/2 - \beta\sqrt{n}) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}} e^{-2\beta^2}$.

3.1.3 Bounding Sums with Geometric Series

For a random variable R, the *cumulative distribution function* $F_R(k) = \Pr(R \leq k)$.

Since $f_{n,1/2}(k)$ is increasing with $k < n/2$, we can bound the probability $F_R(25) \leq 25 \cdot f_{n,1/2}(25)$. But we can do better.

Let $k = \alpha n$ where $\alpha \leq 1/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Pr(R \leq \alpha n) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha n} Pr(R = i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n}\left[\binom{n}{\alpha n} + \binom{n}{\alpha n - 1} \cdots \binom{n}{0}\right] \end{aligned}$$

To upper bound the sum with a geometric series, we first compute the size of successive terms.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\binom{n}{r-1}}{\binom{n}{r}} &= \frac{\frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r+1)!}}{\frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}} \\ &= \frac{r}{n-r+1} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha n}{n - \alpha n + 1} \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

(Note that we used $r \leq \alpha n$.) Therefore,

$$\binom{n}{\alpha n - r} \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^r \binom{n}{\alpha n}$$

Plugging in, we find

$$\begin{aligned} Pr[R \leq \alpha n] &\leq 2^{-n}\left[\binom{n}{\alpha n} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\binom{n}{\alpha n} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^2\binom{n}{\alpha n} + \cdots + \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)^{\alpha n}\binom{n}{\alpha n}\right] \\ &\leq 2^{-n}\binom{n}{\alpha n}\frac{1}{1-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \quad (\alpha < 1/2 \Rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} < 1) \\ &= 2^{-n}\binom{n}{\alpha n}\frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha}$ is constant!.

$$Pr(\leq 25 \text{ heads})\ (n = 100, \alpha = 1/4)\ = (1.913 \times 10^{-7})\frac{3/4}{1/2}$$

Furthermore,

$$\Pr(\leq 24 \text{ heads}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \Pr(\leq 25 \text{ heads})$$

so that

$$\Pr(\text{exactly 25 heads} \mid \text{at most 25 heads}) > 1/2$$

3.1.4 Limiting Distribution

As $n \to \infty$, $f_{n,p}$ approaches "Bell curve" or "normal distribution" (continuous). (Main difference is in the tails, which are exponentially small anyway.)

Here is a plot of the "Bell curve":



In fact, the binomial distribution is the discrete analog to the normal distribution.
So far, we have been focusing on the unbiased binomial distribution ($p = 1/2$). It's not too hard to extend the results to the general binomial distribution.
$f_p(\alpha n) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha(1-\alpha)n}} 2^{\alpha log(\frac{p}{\alpha}) + (1-\alpha) log(\frac{1-p}{1-\alpha})n}$
The maximum occurs at $\alpha = p$ so we have
$f_p(\alpha n) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi p(1-p)n}}$
Similarly,
$F_p(\alpha n) \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha/p} f_p(\alpha n)$

4 Applications

4.1 Polling

We want to estimate the fraction p of Democrats in the country by conducting a poll, that is, we will sample n people uniformly at random, with replication, from the entire population. Let D be the number of Democrats in the sample we obtain. Then the intuition is that D should be a reasonably close approximation to np, since each person is a Democrat with probability p , and we sample n times.

So we can estimate p by computing D/n. The question is, what error does this introduce? Can't get any bound with certainty, since there is a (tiny) chance that we pick only democrats.

Theorem 4.1 Given $\epsilon > 0$. Let δ be the sum of the probabilities of getting ϵn too many democrats or ϵn too few democrats in our sample, that is

$$\delta = Pr(D < (p+\epsilon)n) + Pr(D > (p-\epsilon)n)$$

Then, with probability $1-\delta$, $p - \epsilon < D/n < p + \epsilon$, that is, our poll will give a margin of error of $\pm\epsilon$, and be correct with probability $1-\delta$.

Given ϵ, how do we compute δ ? We use the fact that D is binomially distributed. This allows us to apply the formulas that we derived in the previous section. In particular, we have that

$$\delta = F_p((p-\epsilon)n) + F_{1-p}((1-p-\epsilon)n)$$

The only problem with this is that we don't know p yet. This is not a serious problem, however. We have the following fact:

Fact 1 For any $\epsilon > 0$, δ is maximized by setting $p = 1/2$.

Given this fact, we may set $p = 1/2$ for the sake of analysis. Hence we have that

$\delta \leq F_{1/2}((1/2-\epsilon)n) + F_{1/2}((1/2-\epsilon)n)$
$\Rightarrow \delta \leq 2F_{1/2}((1/2-\epsilon)n)$

Now we can just plug in values for ϵ. Say we want an error margin of $\pm.05$. In this case, we have that

$\delta \leq F_{1/2}(.45n) = 2\frac{1-.45}{1-.90}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \cdot .45 \cdot .55n}}2^{H(.45)-1)n}$
$\Rightarrow \delta \leq 11 \cdot \frac{.802}{\sqrt{n}}2^{-.0072n}$

Plugging in for some values of n, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 1000 \Rightarrow &\quad \delta \approx .00186 \\ n = 700 \Rightarrow &\quad \delta \approx .0100 \\ n = 400 \Rightarrow &\quad \delta \approx .05949 \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that, by sampling 700 people, there is a 99% chance that the result of the poll will be within 5% of the correct value. That does not, of course, exclude the possibility that we are really unlucky in constructing our sample.

4.2 Counting Fish

There is a lake with 1 million fish in it. We conduct an experiment on the fish in two phases. In phase 1 we catch A fish, tag them and release them back into the lake. In phase 2 we repeatedly catch and release the fish - we do this B times. What is the minimum total number $(A + B)$ of fish we should catch to make sure that we catch a tagged fish (during phase 2) with probability greater than 99%.

The probability we don't catch even one tagged fish during phase 2 is $(1 - \frac{A}{10^6})^B$. We want this probability to be less than 0.01. Now,

$$(1 - \frac{A}{10^6})^B < e^{-(\frac{AB}{10^6})}$$

. Hence if we assure that

$$e^{-(\frac{AB}{10^6})} < 0.01$$

we are done. This implies that $AB > 4.6 * 10^6$. $A + B$ is minimized when $A = B$ for a given AB. Hence the minimum total number of fish we should catch is $2 * \sqrt{4.6 * 10^6} \sim 4292$

4.3 Leader Election

There are n persons in a room. They wish to pick one of themselves as their leader. They wish to do this in a fair and democratic way, so that each and everyone has the same chance

to be the leader. The scheme they employ is for everyone to toss a coin. If exactly one person tosses a head that person is elected the leader. If no persons or more than one person tosses heads then they repeat the entire process. If the coins they use have probability p of coming up heads then what should p be to maximize the probability of selecting a leader in a given round.

If n coins are tossed then the probability of having exactly one head is $\binom{n}{1}p(1-p)^{n-1}$. Differentiating w.r.t. p and equating to 0 we find the maximum occurs when $p = 1/n$. Hence, they should use coins so that the probability of coming up heads is $1/n$. When they use such coins then the probability of selecting a leader in a given round is $\binom{n}{1}(1/n)(1-(1/n))^{n-1} \sim 1/e$.

5 Summary

Unbiased ($p = 1/2$) Binomial Distribution:

$$f(\frac{n}{2}) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}} = \Theta(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$$

$$f(\alpha n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\alpha(1-\alpha)}}\frac{1}{2^{n(1-H(\alpha))}} \quad (\alpha < 1/2)$$

General ($p \leq 1/2$) Binomial Distribution:

$$f(pn) \sim \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi p(1-p)n}} = \Theta(\frac{1}{\sqrt{pn}})$$

$$f(\alpha n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha(1-\alpha)n}}2^{n(\alpha \log \frac{p}{\alpha} + (1-\alpha)\log \frac{p}{1-\alpha})}$$