Problems for Recitation 7

1 RSA

RSA Public-Key Encryption Beforehand The receiver creates a public key and a secret key as follows. 1. Generate two distinct primes, *p* and *q*. 2. Let n = pq. 3. Select an integer *e* such that gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1. The *public key* is the pair (e, n). This should be distributed widely. 4. Compute *d* such that $de \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$. The *secret key* is the pair (d, n). This should be kept hidden! Encoding The sender encrypts message *m* to produce *m'* using the public key: $m' = m^e \operatorname{rem} n$. Decoding The receiver decrypts message *m'* back to message *m* using the secret key: $m = (m')^d \operatorname{rem} n$.

2 Let's try it out!

You'll probably need extra paper. Check your work carefully!

- As a team, go through the **beforehand** steps.
 - Choose primes *p* and *q* to be relatively small, say in the range 10-20. In practice, *p* and *q* might contain several hundred digits, but small numbers are easier to handle with pencil and paper.
 - Try $e = 3, 5, 7, \ldots$ until you find something that works. Use Euclid's algorithm to compute the gcd.
 - Find *d* using the Pulverizer. (You don't remember it? Check the last page.)

When you're done, put your public key on the board. This lets another team send you a message.

- Now send an encrypted message to another team using their public key. Select your message m from the codebook below:
 - 2 = Greetings and salutations!
 - 3 = Yo, wassup?
 - 4 = You guys suck!
 - 5 = All your base are belong to us.
 - 6 = Someone on *our* team thinks someone on *your* team is kinda cute.
 - 7 = You *are* the weakest link. Goodbye.
- Decrypt the message sent to you and verify that you received what the other team sent!
- Explain how you could read messages encrypted with RSA if you could quickly factor large numbers.

Recitation 7

3 But does it really work?

A critical question is whether decrypting an encrypted message always gives back the original message! Mathematically, this amounts to asking whether:

$$m^{de} \equiv m \pmod{pq}.$$

Note that the procedure ensures that de = 1 + k(p-1)(q-1) for some integer k.

This congruence holds for all messages *m*. First, use Fermat's theorem to prove that
m ≡ *m^{de}* (mod *p*) for all *m*. (Fermat's Theorem says that *a^{p-1}* ≡ 1 (mod *p*) if *p* is a
prime that does not divide *a*.)

• By the same argument, you can equally well show that $m \equiv m^{ed} \pmod{q}$. Show that these two facts together imply that $m \equiv m^{ed} \pmod{pq}$ for all m.

I can't believe you don't remember The Pulverizer...

Euclid's algorithm for finding the GCD of two numbers relies on repeated application of the equation:

gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, a rem b)

For example, to compute the GCD of 259 and 70 we calculate:

gcd(259, 70)	=	$\gcd(70, 49)$	since 259 rem $70 = 49$
	=	gcd(49, 21)	since 70 rem $49 = 21$
	=	gcd(21,7)	since $49 \text{ rem } 21 = 7$
	=	$\gcd(7,0)$	since $21 \text{ rem } 7 = 0$
	=	7.	

The Pulverizer goes through the same steps, but requires some extra bookkeeping along the way: as we compute gcd(a, b), we keep track of how to write each of the remainders (49, 21, and 7, in the example) as a linear combination of a and b (our objective is to write the last nonzero remainder, which is the GCD, as such a linear combination). For our example, here is this extra bookkeeping:

We began by initializing two variables, x = a and y = b. In the first two columns, we carried out Euclid's algorithm. At each step, we computed $x \operatorname{rem} y$, which can be written in the form $x - q \cdot y$. (Remember that the Division Algorithm says $x = q \cdot y + r$, where r is the remainder. We get $r = x - q \cdot y$ by rearranging terms.) Then we replaced x and y in this equation with equivalent linear combinations of a and b, which we already had computed. After simplifying, we were left with a linear combination of a and b that was equal to the remainder as desired. The final solution is boxed.