

## Notes for Recitation 11

### 1 The Quest

An explorer is trying to reach the Holy Grail, which she believes is located in a desert shrine  $d$  days walk from the nearest oasis. In the desert heat, the explorer must drink continuously. She can carry at most 1 gallon of water, which is enough for 1 day. However, she is free to create water caches out in the desert.

For example, if the shrine were  $2/3$  of a day's walk into the desert, then she could recover the Holy Grail with the following strategy. She leaves the oasis with 1 gallon of water, travels  $1/3$  day into the desert, caches  $1/3$  gallon, and then walks back to the oasis—arriving just as her water supply runs out. Then she picks up another gallon of water at the oasis, walks  $1/3$  day into the desert, tops off her water supply by taking the  $1/3$  gallon in her cache, walks the remaining  $1/3$  day to the shrine, grabs the Holy Grail, and then walks for  $2/3$  of a day back to the oasis—again arriving with no water to spare.

But what if the shrine were located farther away?

- (a) What is the most distant point that the explorer can reach and return from if she takes only 1 gallon from the oasis?

**Solution.** At best she can walk  $1/2$  day into the desert and then walk back.

- (b) What is the most distant point the explorer can reach and return from if she takes only 2 gallons from the oasis? No proof is required; just do the best you can.

**Solution.** The explorer walks  $1/4$  day into the desert, drops  $1/2$  gallon, then walks home. Next, she walks  $1/4$  day into the desert, picks up  $1/4$  gallon from her cache, walks an additional  $1/2$  day out and back, then picks up another  $1/4$  gallon from her cache and walks home. Thus, her maximum distance from the oasis is  $3/4$  of a day's walk.

- (c) What about 3 gallons? (Hint: First, try to establish a cache of 2 gallons *plus* enough water for the walk home as far into the desert as possible. Then use this cache as a springboard for your solution to the previous part.)

**Solution.** Suppose the explorer makes three trips  $1/6$  day into the desert, dropping  $2/3$  gallon off units each time. On the third trip, the cache has 2 gallons of water, and the explorer still has  $1/6$  gallon for the trip back home. So, instead of returning

immediately, she uses the solution described above to advance another  $3/4$  of a day into the desert and then returns home. Thus, she reaches

$$\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{11}{12}$$

of a days' walk into the desert.

- (d) How can the explorer go as far as possible if she withdraws  $n$  gallons of water? Express your answer in terms of the Harmonic number  $H_n$ , defined by:

$$H_n = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}$$

**Solution.** With  $n$  gallons of water, the explorer can reach a point  $H_n/2$  days into the desert.

Suppose she makes  $n$  trips  $1/(2n)$  days into the desert, dropping off  $(n-1)/n$  gallons each time. Before she leaves the cache for the last time, she has  $n$  gallons plus enough for the walk home. So she applies her  $(n-1)$ -day strategy to go an additional  $H_{n-1}/2$  days into the desert and then returns home. Her maximum distance from the oasis is then:

$$\frac{1}{2n} + \frac{H_{n-1}}{2} = \frac{H_n}{2}$$

- (e) Use the fact that

$$H_n \sim \ln n$$

to approximate your previous answer in terms of logarithms.

**Solution.** An approximate answer is  $(\ln n)/2$ .

- (f) Suppose that the shrine is  $d = 10$  days walk into the desert. Relying on your approximate answer, how many days must the explorer travel to recover the Holy Grail?

**Solution.** She obtains the Grail when:

$$\frac{H_n}{2} \approx \frac{\ln n}{2} \geq 10$$

This requires about  $n \geq e^{20} = 4.8 \cdot 10^8$  days.

## 2 Asymptotic notation

(a) Which of these symbols  $\Theta$   $O$   $\Omega$   $o$   $\omega$  can go in these boxes?

$$2n + \log n = \boxed{\phantom{000}}(n)$$

$\Theta, O, \Omega$

$$\log n = \boxed{\phantom{000}}(n)$$

$O, o$

$$\sqrt{n} = \boxed{\phantom{000}}(\log^{300} n)$$

$\Omega, \omega$

$$n2^n = \boxed{\phantom{000}}(n)$$

$\Omega, \omega$

$$n^7 = \boxed{\phantom{000}}(1.01^n)$$

$O, o$

(b) Indicate which of the following holds for each pair of functions  $f(n), g(n)$  in the table below;  $k \geq 1, \epsilon > 0$ , and  $c > 1$  are constants. Be prepared to justify your answers.

$f(n)$	$g(n)$	$f = O(g)$	$f = o(g)$	$g = O(f)$	$g = o(f)$	$f = \Theta(g)$	$f \sim g$
$2^n$	$2^{n/2}$						
$\sqrt{n}$	$n^{\sin n\pi/2}$						
$\log(n!)$	$\log(n^n)$						
$n^k$	$c^n$						
$\log^k n$	$n^\epsilon$						

**Solution.**

$f(n)$	$g(n)$	$f = O(g)$	$f = o(g)$	$g = O(f)$	$g = o(f)$	$f = \Theta(g)$	$f \sim g$
$2^n$	$2^{n/2}$	no	no	yes	yes	no	no
$\sqrt{n}$	$n^{\sin n\pi/2}$	no	no	no	no	no	no
$\log(n!)$	$\log(n^n)$	yes	no	yes	no	yes	yes
$n^k$	$c^n$	yes	yes	no	no	no	no
$\log^k n$	$n^\epsilon$	yes	yes	no	no	no	no

Following are some hints on deriving the table above:

- (a)  $\frac{2^n}{2^{n/2}} = 2^{n/2}$  grows without bound as  $n$  grows—it is not bounded by a constant.
- (b) When  $n$  is even, then  $n^{\sin n\pi/2} = 1$ . So, no constant times  $n^{\sin n\pi/2}$  will be an upper bound on  $\sqrt{n}$  as  $n$  ranges over even numbers. When  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $n^{\sin n\pi/2} = n^1 = n$ . So, no constant times  $\sqrt{n}$  will be an upper bound on  $n^{\sin n\pi/2}$  as  $n$  ranges over numbers  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (c)

$$\log(n!) = \log \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \pm c_n \quad (1)$$

$$= \log n + n(\log n - 1) \pm d_n \quad (2)$$

$$\sim n \log n \quad (3)$$

$$= \log n^n.$$

where  $a \leq c_n, d_n \leq b$  for some constants  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $n$ . Here equation (1) follows by taking logs of Stirling's formula, (2) follows from the fact that the log of a product is the sum of the logs, and (3) follows because any constant,  $\log n$ , and  $n$  are all  $o(n \log n)$  and hence so is their sum.

(d) *Polynomial growth versus exponential growth.*

(e) *Polylogarithmic growth versus polynomial growth.*

---

**cheat sheet**


---

**Definitions.** Intuitively and precisely the notations mean the following:

$f = \Theta(g)$	$f$ grows <i>as fast</i> as $g$	There exists $n_0$ and $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for all $n > n_0$ : $c_1 g(n) \leq  f(n)  \leq c_2 g(n)$ .
$f = O(g)$	$f$ grows <i>no faster</i> than $g$	There exists $n_0$ and $c > 0$ such that for all $n > n_0$ : $ f(n)  \leq cg(n)$ .
$f = \Omega(g)$	$f$ grows <i>no slower</i> than $g$	There exists $n_0$ and $c > 0$ such that for all $n > n_0$ : $cg(n) \leq  f(n) $ .
$f = o(g)$	$f$ grows <i>slower</i> than $g$	For all $c > 0$ , there exists $n_0$ such that for all $n > n_0$ : $ f(n)  \leq cg(n)$ .
$f = \omega(g)$	$f$ grows <i>faster</i> than $g$	For all $c > 0$ , there exists $n_0$ such that for all $n > n_0$ : $cg(n) \leq  f(n) $ .
$f \sim g$	$f/g$ approaches 1	$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n) = 1$

**Relationships.** Some asymptotic relationships between functions imply others:

$f = O(g)$ and $f = \Omega(g) \Leftrightarrow f = \Theta(g)$	$f = o(g) \Rightarrow f = O(g)$
$f = O(g) \Leftrightarrow g = \Omega(f)$	$f = \omega(g) \Rightarrow f = \Omega(g)$
$f = o(g) \Leftrightarrow g = \omega(f)$	$f \sim g \Rightarrow f = \Theta(g)$

**Limits.** If the  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/g(n)$  exists, it reveals a lot about the relationship of  $f$  and  $g$ :

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f/g \neq 0, \infty \Rightarrow f = \Theta(g)$	$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f/g = 1 \Rightarrow f \sim g$
$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f/g \neq \infty \Rightarrow f = O(g)$	$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f/g = 0 \Rightarrow f = o(g)$
$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f/g \neq 0 \Rightarrow f = \Omega(g)$	$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f/g = \infty \Rightarrow f = \omega(g)$

In this context, L'Hospital's Rule is often useful:

$$\text{If } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = \infty, \text{ then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f'(n)}{g'(n)}.$$

**Logarithms vs. polynomials vs. exponentials.** *Everybody* knows the following two facts:

- polylogarithms grow *slower* than polynomials: for all  $a, b > 0$ ,  $(\ln n)^a = o(n^b)$ .
- polynomials grow *slower* than exponentials: for all  $b, c > 0$ ,  $n^b = o((1+c)^n)$ .