

Contents

1	Running Time of Dijkstra	1
2	Bounded Integer Edge Weights	1
3	Single source, Single Target (SPP)	2
3.1	Early Termination:	2
3.2	Bi-directional Search:	2
3.3	Potentials	4

1 Running Time of Dijkstra

General Running Time: Initialization: $O(|V|)$, Main loop: Every vertex requires exactly one EXTRACT-MAX (we can skip the INSERTS if we assume we insert all vertices when they have equal keys of ∞ and therefore can insert them in any order). Each edge can require up to one DECREASE-KEY and it is possible to come up with a case in which every edge does require a DECREASE-KEY. Therefore, in terms of these operations the running time is $O(|V|)T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}} + O(|E|)T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}}$.

We would like EXTRACT-MIN and DECREASE-KEY to all be constant time... but as long as $T_{\text{DECREASE-KEY}} = o(|V|)$ and $T_{\text{EXTRACT-MIN}} = o(|E|)$, this is still probably better than Bellman-Ford!

	Structure	EXTRACT-MIN	DECREASE-KEY	Running Time
Data Structures:	array	$O(V)$	$O(1)$	$O(V ^2)$
	binary heap	$O(\log V)$	$O(\log V)$	$O(E \log V)$
	Fibonacci heap	$O(\log V)$ amortized	$O(1)$ amortized	$O(V \log V + E)$

Fibonacci Heaps: Are not part of 6.006. Chapter 20 of CLRS talks about them if you are interested.

2 Bounded Integer Edge Weights

Assume edge weights are non-negative integers bounded by C . Use an array of length $|V|C + 1$ for priority queue where vertices with paths of length i are stored in bucket i .

Why does this work? Because all paths are less than $(|V| - 1)C \Rightarrow |V|C - C + 1 \leq |V|C + 1$ possible path values.

When you assign a path length to a previously unassigned node, you put it in its correct bucket. " ∞ " lives in $|V|C$.

Algorithms: DECREASE-KEY(v, k) just moves vertex v from bucket $\text{key}[v]$ to bucket k .

EXTRACT-MAX

```
1 global curr-bucket // Initialize to zero at start of whole algorithm
2 while (curr-bucket is empty)
3     curr-bucket ← curr-bucket + 1
4 return First value in curr-bucket
```

Correctness of EXTRACT-MAX: By induction. Do it yourself.

Running Time of DECREASE-KEY and EXTRACT-MIN: Clearly DECREASE-KEY is $O(1)$. In addition, EXTRACT-MAX amortizes to $O(1)$: over the course of the whole algorithm we see every bucket once. So, over the algorithm, the time of all calls to EXTRACT-MAX sums to $O(|V|C)$. We extract each vertex once and only once so we make $|V|$ calls to EXTRACT-MAX. Therefore, each call amortizes to $O(|V|C)/O(|V|) = O(1)$.

Running Time: $O(|V| + |E|)$ It's linear!

Circular Queues: You can use an array of only length C rather than length $|V|C + 1$ where path length i is stored in bucket $i \bmod C$. The correctness of this relies on the fact that the first vertex with path length $kC + i$ is not inserted into the queue until we have extracted the last vertex with path length $(k - 1)C + i$. Just argue that since every edge weight is less than C , you cannot relax an edge with weight less than $(k - 1)C + i$ and get an edge with weight $kC + i$. Do it formally yourself.

3 Single source, Single Target (SPP)

If you have a negative weight and cycles, you can't do better than Bellman-Ford since you never know where a negative weight cycle might be lurking... You can't stop at t when you reach it.

So assume non-negative weights.

3.1 Early Termination:

We could stop Dijkstra when we hit t . In the worst case, this is no better, but it can help.

3.2 Bi-directional Search:

Search from s and t and t to s and hope you meet in the middle!

Alternate forward from s with backwards from t . d_f is forward distances, d_b is backwards distance.

Termination: Vertex w has been popped off queue in both forwards and backwards search. BUT $\delta(s, t) \neq d_f[w] + d_b[w]$. Rather $\delta(s, t) = \min_{u \in V} (d_f[u] + d_b[u])$.

Lemma A: $\delta(s, t) \leq \min_{u \in V} (d_f[u] + d_b[u])$

Proof: Let a shortest path from s to t be $(u_1, u_2), (u_2, u_2), \dots, (u_{n-1}, u_n)$ where $u_1 = s$ and $u_n = t$. For all shortest paths, we must have at least one edge weight wrong. -

$$\min_{u \in V} (d_f[u] + d_b[u]) \geq \min_{u \in V} (\delta(s, u) + \delta(u, t))$$

by the upper bound theorem

$$\min_{u \in V} (\delta(s, u) + \delta(u, t) \geq \delta(s, t))$$

by the triangle inequality.

Lemma B: Let v be the vertex that has been popped off the queue in both the forward and backwards searches. Let $p = \langle (u_1, u_2), \dots, (u_{n-1}, u_n) \rangle$ be a shortest path from s to t ($u_1 = s$ and $u_n = t$). Let u_f be the vertex on this path with the largest d_f value that has come out of the forward queue and let u_b be the vertex on this path with the largest d_b value that has come out of the backwards queue. Then either $u_f = u_b$ or $u_f = u_{b-1}$.

Proof: By the properties of Dijkstra, we must have that $d_f[u_f] = \delta(s, u_f)$ and $d_b[u_b] = \delta(u_b, t)$. Moreover, since u_f is the largest vertex to have come out of the forwards queue and u_b is the largest vertex to come out of the backwards queue and p is a shortest path, the following must hold:

$$\delta(s, u_f) \leq \delta(s, v) \tag{1}$$

$$\delta(s, u_f) + w(u_f, u_{f+1}) \geq \delta(s, v) \tag{2}$$

$$\delta(u_b, t) \leq \delta(v, t) \tag{3}$$

$$w(u_{b-1}, u_b) + \delta(u_b, t) \geq \delta(v, t) \tag{4}$$

$$\delta(s, u_f) + \delta(u_b, t) + \sum_{i=f}^{b-1} w(u_i, u_{i+1}) \leq \delta(s, v) + \delta(v, t) \tag{5}$$

Combining equations 2 and 4

$$\delta(s, u_f) + \delta(u_b, t) + w(u_f, u_{f+1}) + w(u_{b-1}, u_b) \geq \delta(s, v) + \delta(v, t).$$

In order that equation 5 hold, therefore

$$\sum_{i=f}^{b-1} w(u_i, u_{i+1}) \leq w(u_f, u_{f+1}) + w(u_{b-1}, u_b)$$

and we must have either $u_f = u_b$ or $u_f = u_{b-1}$.

Correctness: When a vertex has been popped off the queue of both the forwards and the backwards search, $\delta(s, t) = \min_{u \in V} (d_f[u] + d_b[u])$.

Proof: We show that there is some vertex q such that $\delta(s, t) = d_f[q] + d_b[q]$. The correctness then follows from Lemma A.

Let $p = \langle (u_1, u_2), \dots, (u_{n-1}, u_n) \rangle$ be a shortest path from s to t . Let u_f be the vertex on this path with the largest d_f that has been popped off the forward queue and let u_b be vertex on this path with the largest d_b that has been popped off the queue. Then, by the proof of Dijkstra's algorithm, we have relaxed $(u_1, u_2), \dots, (u_{f-1}, u_f), (u_f, u_{f+1})$ in order (we did the last relaxation when we pushed u_f onto the queue). Similarly, we have relaxed $(u_n, u_{n-1}), \dots, (u_b, u_{b-1})$ in order (in the backwards search). By Lemma B,

$u_f = u_b$ or $u_f = u_{b-1}$. In the first case, consider vertex u_f . We have by the path relaxation property that $d_f[u_f] = \delta(s, u_f)$ and $d_b[u_f] = \delta(u_f, t)$. Since p is a shortest path, $\delta(s, t) = \delta(s, u_f) + \delta(u_f, t) = d_f[u_f] + d_b[u_f]$. Similarly, in the second case, consider the vertex u_{f+1} . By the path relaxation property, $d_f[u_{f+1}] = \delta(s, u_{f+1})$, $d_b[u_{f+1}] = \delta(u_b, t)$ and $\delta(s, t) = \delta(s, u_{f+1}) + \delta(u_b, t) = d_f[u_{f+1}] + d_b[u_b]$.

3.3 Potentials

Potential function is function of target t and vertex v , denoted $\lambda_t(v)$.

We modify weights using this potential:

$$w^*(u, v) \leftarrow w(u, v) - \lambda_t(u) + \lambda_t(v)$$

Feasibility: $\forall u, v \in V, w(u, v) - \lambda_t(u) + \lambda_t(v) \geq 0$. So we can still use Dijkstra!

Landmarks: Choose a *landmark* l . For each $u \in V$, pre-compute $\delta(u, l)$. Then

$$\lambda_t^{(l)}(u) = \delta(u, l) - \delta(t, l) \tag{6}$$

is a feasible potential. This is basically just saying, a good estimate is that the route from u to l probably gets near t .

Proof of Feasibility: Show that

$$w^*(u, v) = w(u, v) - \lambda_t^{(l)}(u) + \lambda_t^{(l)}(v) \geq 0$$

You'll do this on problem set 5.

You can also do this with a whole set of potentials L . For each $l \in L$ and $u \in V$, compute $\lambda_t^{(l)}(u)$. Then you can use the potential function

$$\lambda_t(u) = \max_{l \in L} \lambda_t^{(l)}(u)$$

or once you know the target, you can pick a landmark close to the target. Again, you'll prove the feasibility of this in problem set 5.

Euclidean distance: Assume we are working with a graph set on a Euclidean plane and the weight of an edge, $w(u, v)$, is somehow related to the Euclidean distance between the vertices, $l(u, v)$. This is the case, for example, when u and v lie on a map and the weight is the driving distance between them. The absolute shortest possible distance from u to v is $l(u, v)$ ("as the crow flies") but being constrained to stay on a road may make the distance longer. However, it is unlikely that the driving distance between two points two miles apart will be greater than the driving distance between two points 50 miles apart so the weights are related to the Euclidean distance.

In this case, a feasible potential is

$$\lambda_t(u) = \frac{l(u, t)}{v_{\max}}$$

where

$$v_{\max} = \max_{u, v} \left(\frac{l(u, v)}{w(u, v)} \right).$$

Proof of Feasibility:

$$\begin{aligned}
w^*(u, v) &= w(u, v) - \lambda_t(u) + \lambda_t(v) \\
&= w(u, v) - \frac{l(u, t) - l(v, t)}{v_{\max}} \\
&\geq w(u, v) - \frac{l(u, v)}{v_{\max}} \\
&= w(u, v) - l(u, v) \min_{q, r \in V} \left(\frac{w(q, r)}{l(q, r)} \right) \\
&\geq w(u, v) - l(u, v) \left(\frac{w(u, v)}{l(u, v)} \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the triangle inequality gives $l(t, v) \geq l(t, u) + l(u, v)$. Rearranging shows $l(u, t) - l(v, t) \leq l(u, v)$, which we have used.

Connection to A* Search: In A* search, heap is ordered according to function

$$f(u) = g(u) + h(u)$$

$g(u) = d[u]$, the current distance from the starting vertex s to u . $h(u)$ is a *heuristic* function giving an estimate of the distance from u to t (note that $h(u) = 0$ gives Dijkstra's algorithm). A heuristic is *admissible* if $h(u) \leq \delta(u, t)$. A search with an admissible heuristic is guaranteed to find the shortest path.

Given a potential function $\lambda_t(u)$, doing Dijkstra's algorithm with the weights w^* is equivalent to doing an A* search using the heuristic function $h(u) = \lambda_t(u) - \lambda_t(s)$. Assume our current $d^*[u]$ (distance using starred weights) in Dijkstra's algorithm was found using path $(v_1, v_2), \dots, (v_{n-1}, v_n)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
d^*[u] &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w^*(u_i, u_{i+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w(u_i, u_{i+1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_t(u_i) + \sum_{j=2}^n \lambda_t(u_j) \\
&= d[u] - \lambda_t(s) + \lambda_t(u) \\
&= g(u) + h(u)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

A very similar calculation shows that if λ_t is a feasible potential then the corresponding heuristic function is admissible if $\lambda_t(t) \geq 0$.