

# 1 Review

## 1.1 Binary Search Trees

**Problem:** Figure out the *rank* of a node in  $O(\log n)$  time. You may use augmentation. The rank of a node is its position in a sorted list of the nodes.

**Solution:** We keep the number of children in a node's right and left subtree (denoted as  $R(n)$  and  $L(n)$ ) as an augmentation. To find the rank of a node  $x$ , we begin with  $r = 0$ . We begin at the root node and traverse the tree to find  $x$ . Every time we go right at a node  $n$ , we add  $r = r + L(n) + 1$ . When we find  $x$ , we finally add  $r = r + L(x)$ . The number  $r$  is the rank of  $x$ .

Augmentation: When inserting a node, we add 1 to a node's right subtree if we go right and 1 to its left subtree if we go left. Similarly with deleting except we subtract 1. For a left rotation, let  $x$  be the element around which we are rotating and  $y$  be the new root. Then the number of children in  $x$ 's left subtree remains unchanged and the number of children in its right subtree is the number is  $L(y)$ . The number of children in  $y$ 's right subtree remains unchanged and the number of children in its left subtree is  $1 + L(x) + R(x)$  (where  $L(x)$  and  $R(x)$  are after rotating  $x$ ).

Extra point: We cannot keep a node's rank as an augmentation because it cannot be kept updated in  $O(\log n)$  time through inserts and deletes. For example, inserting the smallest number into the tree would require  $O(n)$  time to update the ranks of every other number.

**Problem:** Select the  $r$ th largest number from a balanced binary search tree in  $O(\log n)$  time.

Solution: Use the same augmentation. Let  $k = r$ . When  $L(n) > k$ , search recursively for rank  $k$  in left subtree. Otherwise, search recursively for rank  $k - L(n)$  in right subtree.

**Problem 2d from PSet2:** Find the smallest node larger than  $t$  such that the node's successor is at least 6 greater.

Solution: Store the number of gaps at least 6 in left and right subtrees. Now find the successor of  $t$  in the tree. If this successor has a gap, return it. Otherwise, if it has a gap in its right tree, recursively search for the smallest number with a gap in the right tree. Otherwise, find the first node  $n$  such that  $n$  is a left child and has a gap or has a gap in its right subtree. If  $n$  has a gap in its right subtree, search that subtree for the smallest node with a gap.

Why does this work? Let the  $x$ -successor of  $t$  be the node that is  $x$  nodes larger than  $t$ . So the 1-successor is the successor of  $t$  and the 2-successor is the successor of  $t$ 's successor etc. We want to find the smallest  $x$  such that the  $x$ -successor of  $t$  has a gap. Now the successor of a node is in its right subtree if it has one or one of its ancestors if it does not. Therefore, if a node  $n$  does not have a gap in its right subtree, the successor of the largest node in its right subtree is the first ancestor of  $n$  that is a left child. Therefore, we check all  $x$ -successors until we find the first one with a gap.

## 1.2 Recursions

$$T(n) = T(n/3) + T(2n/3) + O(n).$$

Make a tree and solve it that way. Approximately  $\log n$  levels,  $n$  work per level.

Can also do the Master Theorem examples from last week's notes.

### 1.3 Hash Tables

**Problem:** We insert 4 items with keys  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$ , and  $k_4$  into a hash table of size  $m$  using linear probing and assuming simple uniform hashing. What is the probability that inserting the fourth element requires at least three probes?

**Solution:** We must have at least two elements adjacent to each other and  $k_4$  must hash to the top one. There are several possible cases:

1.  $k_2$  is above  $k_1$  ( $1/m$ ),  $k_3$  is anywhere (1),  $k_4$  collides with  $k_2$  ( $1/m$ ):  $1/m^2$
2.  $k_2$  is below  $k_1$  ( $2/m$  since  $k_2$  could hash to  $k_1$  or just hash to one below  $k_1$ ),  $k_3$  is anywhere (1),  $k_4$  collides with  $k_1$  ( $1/m$ ):  $2/m^2$
3. etc. Actually these start to get pretty complicated. I only did the first two or three cases.

**Problem:** Assuming simple uniform hashing with chaining, after  $n$  insertions to a table of size  $m$ , what is the probability the first slot has a chain of at least size 1?

**Solution:** The probability that the  $i$ th key did not hash to the first slot is  $(m-1)/m$ . Therefore the probability that none of the keys hashed to the first slot is  $((m-1)/m)^n$ . Thus, the probability that at least one key hashed to the first slot is  $1 - ((m-1)/m)^n$ .

### 1.4 Heaps

Question 4b from Spring 2008 exam. There is another solution that doesn't use heaps, but the heap solution is educational.