My Parallel Electromagnetic Solver A Star-Maxwell-P FDTD Propagator

18.337 Parallel Computing

Alejandro W. Rodriguez

Outline

Overview of nanophotonics

Statement of the problem

Parallelization: a data-parallel approach

Minimizing temporal and memory scalings

Future work

"New-School" Electromagnetism Beyond the geometric-optics limit

Design of frequency-selective structures

Omniguides and Fiber optical guiding







[S. Y. Lin et al., Nature **394**, 251 (1998)]







[P. Vukosic et al., Proc. Roy. Soc: Bio. Sci. 266, 1403 (1999)]

Nanophotonics $-\lambda$ -scale geometries (wavelength)



A Light-Speed Introduction to FDTD

Maxwell's Equations

$$\nabla \times \vec{D} = \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t} \quad \nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} + 4\pi \vec{J}$$
$$\vec{D} = \varepsilon \vec{E} \quad \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{H}$$





$$\vec{E}(x_i, y_{j,t_j}) = \vec{E}_{i,j}^n$$
$$\vec{B}(x_i, y_j, t_n) = B_{i+1/2, j+1/2}^n$$

A Light-Speed Introduction to FDTD

2D Maxwell's Equations

continuum

discrete



So...why is this a hard problem?

Maxwell's Equations

$$\nabla \times \vec{D} = \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t} \quad \nabla \times \vec{E} = \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} + 4\pi \vec{J}$$
$$\vec{D} = \varepsilon \vec{E} \quad \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{H}$$



Temporal complexity

- 2 complex (discrete) 3D fields
- ~ 100 flops / pixel / step
- 3D size ~ 20 x 18 x 18 a³
- resolution (pixels / a) ~ 25
 ~ 10⁸ pixels
- 100-400 time steps



Memory $\sim 20 \text{ GB}$

Now, let's create our own parallel code!

Parallelization Schemes Optimizing complexity



Parallelization Schemes optimizing complexity



Star-P Implementation Power of vectorization

Simple 1D example $B, E \in \Re(N \times N)$

consider

$$E_{N+1,j} = E_{0,j} = 0$$

$$B_{i,j} = B_{i,j} + \alpha \left(E_{i,j+1} - E_{i,j} \right)$$

Looped

Vectorized

for k=2:(N-1)
B(:,k)=B(:,k)+
$$\alpha$$
 (E(:,k+1)-E(:,k))
end

 $B(:,2:end-1)=B(:,2:end-1) + \alpha (E(:,3:end-1)-E(:,1:end-2))$





vectorized





2D Maxwell Equations Back to our problem



Let's try 2D parallelization!

2D Maxwell Equations 2D parallelization?



2D Maxwell Equations A solution: hybridization!

$$B_{x,(i+,j+)}^{n} = B_{x,(i+,j+)}^{n-1} + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} \left(E_{z,(i,j+1)}^{n-1} - E_{z,(i,j-1)}^{n-1} \right)$$

$$B_{y,(i+,j+)}^{n} = B_{y,(i+,j+)}^{n-1} - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left(E_{z,(i+1,j)}^{n-1} - E_{z,(i-1,j)}^{n-1} \right)$$

$$H^n_{_{(i+,j+)}} = \mu_0^{-1} B^n_{_{(i+,j+)}}$$

$$D_{z,(i,j)}^{n} = D_{z,(i,j)}^{n-1} + \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \left(H_{y,(i+,j)}^{n-1} - H_{y,(i-,j)}^{n-1} \right) \\ - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y} \left(H_{x,(i,j+)}^{n-1} - H_{x,(i,j-)}^{n-1} \right) + 4\pi J_{z,(i,j-1)}^{n-1}$$

$$E_{(i,j)}^n = \varepsilon_{(i,j)}^{-1} D_{(i,j)}^n$$

Hybrid parallelization scheme

$$B_x^n \in (N * p \times N) \sim E_{z,x}^n \in (N * p \times N)$$
$$B_y^n \in (N \times N * p) \sim E_{z,y}^n \in (N \times N * p)$$
Auxiliary fields

$$D_z^n \in (N * p \times N * p) \sim B_y^n \in (N \times N * p)$$
$$+B_x^n \in (N * p \times N)$$

$$E_{z,x}^{n} \in (N \times N^{*} p) \sim D_{z}^{n} \in (N^{*} p \times N^{*} p)$$
$$E_{z,y}^{n} \in (N^{*} p \times N) \sim D_{z}^{n} \in (N^{*} p \times N^{*} p)$$

2D Maxwell Equations hybridization wins!



Example 1 Field visualization

Steady-state field

Metallic geometry



Example 2 Field visualization

PhC-Metal geometry



Using moderate resolutions $res \sim 30$ $\Rightarrow N_{pixels} = O(10^8)$ Is this a job for star-Maxwell?

serial = impossible

parallel ~ hour

L >> a

Future Work (coming months)

C++ for loops much faster than Matlab's (try MPI implementation --- task-parallel approach)

A promising optimization (3D geometries):

