

Parallel Simulation of Quantum Computation by Practically Adiabatic Time-dependent Hamiltonians (PSiQCoPATH)

Eric Fellheimer and Mark Rudner

March 17, 2005

1. Intro: Quantum vs Classical Computation

1.1 Classical Information

- a) The fundamental unit of classical information is the bit $b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$
- b) We can store larger amounts of information by bringing N bits together to form an N -bit register
- c) The state space of such a register is an N -dimensional vector space over the field \mathbb{Z}_2 , called \mathbb{Z}_2^N
- d) Data is stored as a particular state of the register, called an N -bit word or “bit string”
 - i) e.g. $x = 1010001101110010 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{16}$
 - ii) An N -bit register can be set to represent any one of the 2^N possible states of \mathbb{Z}_2^N

1.2 Quantum Information

- a) The state of a quantum system is a vector $|\psi\rangle$ in a vector space \mathcal{H} over the field \mathbb{C}
- b) Fundamental unit of quantum information is the qubit $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_2$
 - i) \mathcal{H}_2 is a two (complex) dimensional vector space spanned by the basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$
 - ii) e.g. A two-level system such as that of spin-1/2 particle
 - iii) Basis vectors are $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, but qubit $|\psi\rangle$ can be *any* vector $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$; $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$
- c) Larger amounts of quantum information stored by bringing N such systems $\{\mathcal{H}_2^{(1)}, \mathcal{H}_2^{(2)}, \dots, \mathcal{H}_2^{(N)}\}$ together
- d) The total 2^N dimensional state space $\mathcal{H}_2^N = \mathcal{H}_2^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{H}_2^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_2^{(N)}$
 - i) Basis States: $|1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |0\rangle \equiv |101\dots 0\rangle$
 - ii) Thus any classical bit string x can be mapped to a basis state $|x\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_2^N$

1.3 Classical Computation

- a) Perform an operation $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^N$ such that $f(x) = y$

1.4 Quantum Computation

- a) Operations on quantum systems are accomplished by the action of *linear* operators on \mathcal{H}
- b) Analogous operation $\hat{f} : \mathcal{H}_2^N \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2^N$ such that $\hat{f}|x\rangle = |y\rangle$
- c) Canonical basis states are mapped according to action of f on their classical counterparts
- d) Let $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \alpha_x |x\rangle$, then

$$\hat{f}|\psi\rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \alpha_x \hat{f}|x\rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \alpha_x |y\rangle$$

- i) Natural perfect SIMD parallelization
- ii) Unfortunately, can only read out one of the answers

iii) Reaping the benefits of quantum power requires more cleverness

2. Adiabatic Model of Quantum Computation

2.1 Models of quantum computation

- a) Several equivalent models of quantum computation have been proposed
- b) Most natural for computer scientists is the Quantum Gate Array (QGA) model
- c) Simulating a QGA is not particularly interesting in terms of gaining any new understanding of quantum physics

2.2 Quantum Computing by Adiabatic Evolution

- a) Special operator \hat{H} is the “energy” operator of quantum system
- b) Eigenvectors/eigenvalues are the “energy levels” of the system
- c) Suppose we can design a system whose Hamiltonian \hat{H}_p encodes an instance of a particular problem
 - i) e.g. traveling salesman — energy \leftrightarrow “distance” of a path, eigenvectors \leftrightarrow paths
- d) Lowest energy level (ground state) is the solution to the problem
- e) In general, we don’t know how to prepare this state, but we do know how to prepare the system in the ground state of a simpler Hamiltonian \hat{H}_0
- f) **Adiabatic Theorem:** Consider a system initially in the ground state $|\psi_0\rangle_0$ of \hat{H}_0 . If by changing some external parameters, the system’s Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$ is *slowly* and smoothly transformed from \hat{H}_0 to \hat{H}_p , then the final state of the system will be the ground state $|\psi_0\rangle_p$, the ground state of \hat{H}_p .
 - i) e.g. $\hat{H}(t) = (1 - t)\hat{H}_0 + t\hat{H}_p$, $t \in [0, 1]$
- g) Idea: start the system in the ground state of a simple Hamiltonian, then slowly change some parameter to evolve the Hamiltonian into the particular problem Hamiltonian and read out the answer
- h) Problem: How slow is “slow enough?”

3. Simulating Adiabatic Quantum Evolution

3.1 Interesting both for quantum computation and general study of quantum dynamics

3.2 Quantum evolution given by Shrodinger equation (SE)

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi\rangle = \hat{H}(t) |\psi\rangle$$

3.3 Naive serial simulation: $|\psi(t + \varepsilon)\rangle = \left[\mathbb{1} - i\frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar} \hat{H}(t) \right] |\psi(t)\rangle$

3.4 We can do better with parallel!

4. Parallel Calculation of the Time Evolution Operator

4.1 For any Hamiltonian evolution of a quantum system, can define the Time Evolution Operator $\hat{U}(t, t_0)$ such that $|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle$

4.2 For time independent \hat{H} , SE is solved by $\hat{U}(t, t_0) = e^{-i(t-t_0)\hat{H}/\hbar}$

4.3 For $t_0 < t_1 < t$, $\hat{U}(t, t_0) = \hat{U}(t, t_1)\hat{U}(t_1, t_0)$

4.4 If $\hat{H}(t)$ varies slowly in time, break the interval up into pieces over which \hat{H} is roughly constant.

4.5 Discretized version of complete information about state of the system given by

$$[\mathbb{1}, U(t_0 + \varepsilon, t_0), U(t_0 + 2\varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)U(t_0 + \varepsilon, t_0), \dots]$$

5. Advantages of Parallel Algorithm

- 5.1 By re-ordering the calculation (not going on sequence), we can recast it into a variation on parallel prefix
- 5.2 By focusing on the time evolution operator itself rather than evolving a particular state, we
 - a) get complete information about dynamical behavior for all possible initial states
 - b) can take advantage of BLAS-3 matrix-matrix operations
 - c) would need 2^N runs of serial BLAS-2 code to get same dynamical information
- 5.3 Hope to simulate up to 9 or 10 qubits
- 5.4 Can learn about “how slow” is slow enough for quantum adiabatic computation
- 5.5 Try to integrate recently developed efficient algorithms for systems with low-entanglement