Principles and Practice of Assistive Technology

Lab 01 September 7, 2011

Agenda

- Introductions
- Course Survey
- Disability Etiquette
 - Preamble
 - Quiz
 - Video: "The Ten Commandments"
 - Discussion

Communication Etiquette

- Communicating/interacting with people who have a disability
- Communicating about people who have a disability

Preamble

- Safe space for open discussion
 - Be respectful of others
 - People have different experiences and backgrounds with disability and assistive technology
 - Don't be afraid to ask questions or voice your thoughts!
- "Answers" are not always clear-cut

Quiz

- "Dotmocracy"
 - 10 different sheets of paper with statements about disability etiquette
 - Rate from "strong agreement" to "strong disagreement" – fill in a dot
 - Write comments underneath the survey

| | Write one idea here in large letters: | Signatures |
|--|--|------------|
| If someone cannot shake hands with shake left hands. | their right hand, it is acceptable to | |
| | | |
| Do you agree? | Fill your one dot below & sign on the right: | 10 |
| \odot \odot | | |
| Strong Agreement Agreement | Strong Disagreement Disagreement Confusion | 28 |
| - | | _ |
| | | _ |
| | | |
| | | _ |
| | | _ |
| | | _ |
| | | _ |
| | dotmo | OCTACY.org |

Video

- "The 10 Commandments of Communicating With People With Disabilities"
- 26 minutes, 1994 hairstyles

Video

- "The 10 Commandments of Communicating With People With Disabilities"
- 26 minutes, 1994 hairstyles

Video Discussion

- What were your impressions?
- Was there any part of the video you disagreed with?

Video Assisitive Technologies

- Closed captioning
- Video description

Quiz Answers

 "Etiquette for Communicating with People with Disabilities" brochure from MIT Student Disability Services

| | Write one | idea here in large letters: | Signatures |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| When introduced, you should offer to limited hand use or an artificial limb. | shake hands with son | neone who has | |
| | | | |
| Do you agree? | Fill your one dot belo | ow & sign on the right: | 10 |
| \odot \odot | (<u></u> | ? | |
| Strong Agreement Agreement | Strong Disagreement Disagreem | nent Confusion | 20 |
| | | | _ |
| | | | - |
| | | | |
| | | | - L |
| | | | - |
| | | | - <u> </u> |
| | | dotmo | Cracy.org |

| | Write one idea here in large letters: | Signatures |
|--|--|------------|
| If someone cannot shake hands with shake left hands. | their right hand, it is acceptable to | |
| | | |
| Do you agree? | Fill your one dot below & sign on the right: | 10 |
| \odot \odot | | |
| Strong Agreement Agreement | Strong Disagreement Disagreement Confusion | 28 |
| - | | _ |
| | | _ |
| | | |
| | | _ |
| | | _ |
| | | _ |
| | | _ |
| | dotmo | OCTACY.org |

| | Write one idea here in large letters: | Signatures |
|--|--|------------|
| When meeting someone who is blind who may be with you orally (e.g. "He | | |
| Do you agree? | Fill your one dot below & sign on the right: | J 10 |
| \odot \odot | | |
| Strong Agreement Agreement | Strong Disagreement Disagreement Confusion | 28 |
| - | | - |
| | | _ |
| | | - 30 |
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | - |
| | | _ |
| | | _ |
| | dotmo | OCTACY.org |

| | Write one idea here in large letters: Signature |
|--|---|
| If a person is assisted by a companion or sign langues should speak to his or her assistant first. | uage interpreter, you |
| | |
| Do you agree? Fill yo | our one dot below & sign on the right: |
| | |
| Strong Agreement Disagreement | |
| | |
| · | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | dotmocracy.org |

| | | | | Write one idea he | ere in large letters: | Signature |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | ng with a frience ask if you can c | | | | read the | |
| | | | | | | |
| Do | you ag | ree? | Fill you | ır one dot below & siz | gn on the right: | 10 |
| \bigcirc | \odot | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | (3) | ? | |
| Strong Agreement | Agreement | Neutral | Disagreement | Strong Disagreement | Confusion | 20 |
| | | - | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | - |
| | | | | | | 30 |
| | | | | | | - |
| | | | | | | - |
| | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | dotmo | Cracy.org |

| | | | Write one idea he | ere in large letters: | Signature |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| It is polite t asked. | o offer assistance to some | one with a dis | sability withou | ıt being | |
| Do | you agree? | Fill you | ır one dot below & siz | gn on the right: | 10 |
| \odot | <u> </u> | <u>(:)</u> | (3) | ? | |
| Strong Agreement | Agreement | Disagreement | Strong Disagreement | Confusion | 20 |
| | - | | | | |
| | | | | | - |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | - |
| | | | | | - |
| | | | | | - |
| | | | | dotmo | OCTACV.org |

| | | | Write one idea he | ere in large letters: | Signatures |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Wheelchair | users consider their whee | elchair as part | of their perso | onal space. | |
| | | · | · | · | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Do | you agree? | Fill you | r one dot below & si | gn on the right: | 10 |
| | | | | | |
| $ \hspace{.05cm} $ | | | | (?) | |
| Strong Agreement | Agreement | Disagreement | Strong Disagreement | Confusion | |
| | | | | | |
| 10000000000 | 0000000000 | 0000000000 | 0000000000 | 10000000000 | 20 |
| | - | | | | |
| i n i | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | - |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | 30 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | - |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | dotmo | ocracy.org |

| | | Write one idea he | ere in large letters: | Signature |
|--|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| You should not use terms like "see yo to someone who has a vision or heari | | d you hear ab | out this?" | |
| Do you agree? | Fill you | ır one dot below & si | gn on the right: | 18 |
| | <u>(:)</u> | (E) | ? | |
| Strong Agreement Agreement | Disagreement | Strong Disagreement | Confusion | 20 |
| - | | | | - |
| | | | | - |
| | | | | 30 |
| | | | | - |
| | | | | - |
| | | | | _ |
| | | | dotmo | Cracy.org |

| | | | | Write one idea h | ere in large letters: | Signatures |
|--|-----------|----------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| If you are having difficulty understanding someone, just pretend that you understand them and keep the conversation flowing. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Do | you ag | ree? | Fill you | r one dot below & si | gn on the right: | 10 |
| \odot | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u>(:)</u> | | ? | |
| Strong Agreement | Agreement | Neutral | Disagreement | Strong Disagreement | Confusion | 20 |
| | | | | | | _ |
| ' | | | | | | - |
| | | | | | | 30 |
| | | | | | | - L |
| | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | dotmo | Cracy.org |

| | | | | Write one idea he | ere in large letters: | Signature |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | ng a blind pers | | | arm so that t | he person | |
| | | | | | | |
| Do | you ag | ree? | Fill you | ır one dot below & siz | gn on the right: | 10 |
| \odot | \odot \checkmark | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | (3) | ? | |
| Strong Agreement | Agreement | Neutral | Disagreement | Strong Disagreement | Confusion | 200 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | - |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | - |
| | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | dotmo | Cracy.org |

| | Write one idea here in large letters: | Signatures |
|---|--|------------|
| You should always use "people-first" disability" or "person living with a he "disabled person", "paralyzed person | earing impairment", instead of | |
| Do you agree? | Fill your one dot below & sign on the right: | 10 |
| Strong Agreement Agreement | Oisagreement Strong Disagreement Octoor Confusion | |
| | | 20 |
| | | - |
| | | - |
| | | 30 |
| | | - |
| | | - |
| | dotmo | Cracy.org |

People-First Language

 Reading from Texas Council on Developmental Disabilities

SAY: INSTEAD OF: People with disabilities. The handicapped or disabled. He has a cognitive disability/diagnosis. He's mentally retarded. She has autism (or a diagnosis of...). She's autistic. He has Down syndrome (or a diagnosis of...). He's Down's; a mongoloid. She has a learning disability (diagnosis). She's learning disabled. He has a physical disability (diagnosis). He's a quadriplegic/is crippled. She's of short stature/she's a little person. She's a dwarf/midget. He has a mental health condition/diagnosis. He's emotionally disturbed/mentally ill. She uses a wheelchair/mobility chair. She's confined to/is wheelchair bound. He's in special ed. He receives special ed services. She has a developmental delay. She's developmentally delayed. Children without disabilities. Normal or healthy kids. Is non-verbal. Communicates with her eyes/device/etc. Customer Client, consumer, recipient, etc. Congenital disability Birth defect Brain injury Brain damaged Handicapped parking, hotel room, etc. Accessible parking, hotel room, etc. She needs... or she uses... She has problems with...has special needs.

National Federation of the Blind (1993)

WHEREAS, the word "blind" accurately and clearly describes the condition of being unable to see, as well as the condition of having such limited eyesight that alternative techniques are required to do efficiently the ordinary tasks of daily living that are performed visually by those having good eyesight; and

WHEREAS, there is increasing pressure in certain circles to use a variety of euphemisms in referring to blindness or blind persons—euphemisms such as "hard of seeing," "visually challenged," "sightless," "visually impaired," "people with blindness," "people who are blind," and the like; and

WHEREAS, a differentiation must be made among these euphemisms: some (such as "hard of seeing," "visually challenged," and "people with blindness") being totally unacceptable and deserving only ridicule because of their strained and ludicrous attempt to avoid such straightforward, respectable words as "blindness," "blind," "the blind," "blind person," or "blind persons;" others (such as "visually impaired," and "visually limited") being undesirable when used to avoid the word "blind" and acceptable only to the extent that they are reasonably employed to distinguish between those having a certain amount of eyesight and those having none; still others (such as "sightless") being awkward and serving no useful purpose; and still others (such as "people who are blind" or "persons who are blind") being harmless and not objectionable when used in occasional and ordinary speech but being totally unacceptable and pernicious when used as a form of political correctness to imply that the word "person" must invariably precede the word "blind" to emphasize the fact that a blind person is first and foremost a person; and

National Federation of the Blind (1993)

WHEREAS, this euphemism concerning people or persons who are blind--when used in its recent trendy, politically correct form--does the exact opposite of what it purports to do since it is overly defensive, implies shame instead of true equality, and portrays the blind as touchy and belligerent; and

WHEREAS, just as an intelligent person is willing to be so designated and does not insist upon being called "a person who is intelligent" and a group of bankers are happy to be called bankers and have no concern that they be referred to as persons who are in the banking business, so it is with the blind—the only difference being that some people (blind and sighted alike) continue to cling to the outmoded notion that blindness (along with everything associated with it) connotes inferiority and lack of status; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED by the National Federation of the Blind in Convention assembled in the city of Dallas, Texas, this 9th day of July, 1993, that the following statement of policy be adopted:

We believe that it is respectable to be blind, and although we have no particular pride in the fact of our blindness, neither do we have any shame in it. To the extent that euphemisms are used to convey any other concept or image, we deplore such use. We can make our own way in the world on equal terms with others, and we intend to do it.

Questions for Discussion

Questions for Discussion

- How much does language matter?
- What are the issues and problems with
- Are there differences in language usage from one disability to the next?
- How might attitudes and vocabulary change in the next 10 years? In the next 100 years?

Language Usage

- Disability as identity: "Deaf culture"
- Terminology at partner organizations:
 - "resident" instead of "patient"
 - "dining room" instead of "cafeteria"
- "Disabled" versus "differently abled"; "ablebodied" versus "temporarily able"