Lecture 6: Local Features
- Interest operators
- Correspondence
- Invariances
- Descriptors

Readings: Shi and Tomasi; Lowe.
Local Features

Matching points across images important for recognition and pose estimation

Tracking vs. Indexing
Today

Interesting points, correspondence, affine patch tracking

Scale and rotation invariant descriptors [Lowe]
Correspondence using window matching

Points are highly individually ambiguous…
More unique matches are possible with small regions of image.
Correspondence using window matching

Criterion function:
Sum of Squared (Pixel) Differences

\( w_L \) and \( w_R \) are corresponding \( m \) by \( m \) windows of pixels.

We define the window function:

\[
W_m(x, y) = \{u, v \mid x - \frac{m}{2} \leq u \leq x + \frac{m}{2}, y - \frac{m}{2} \leq v \leq y + \frac{m}{2}\}
\]

The SSD cost measures the intensity difference as a function of disparity:

\[
C_r(x, y, d) = \sum_{(u, v) \in W_m(x, y)} [I_L(u, v) - I_R(u - d, v)]^2
\]
Image Normalization

- Even when the cameras are identical models, there can be differences in gain and sensitivity.
- The cameras do not see exactly the same surfaces, so their overall light levels can differ.
- For these reasons and more, it is a good idea to normalize the pixels in each window:

\[
\bar{I} = \frac{1}{|W_m(x,y)|} \sum_{(u,v) \in W_m(x,y)} I(u,v) \quad \text{Average pixel}
\]

\[
\|I\|_{W_m(x,y)} = \sqrt{\sum_{(u,v) \in W_m(x,y)} [I(u,v)]^2} \quad \text{Window magnitude}
\]

\[
\hat{I}(x,y) = \frac{I(x,y) - \bar{I}}{\|I - \bar{I}\|_{W_m(x,y)}} \quad \text{Normalized pixel}
\]
Images as Vectors

Each window is a vector in an $m^2$ dimensional vector space. Normalization makes them unit length.

“Unwrap” image to form vector, using raster scan order.
Image windows as vectors
Possible metrics

$w_R(d)$

$w_L$

Distance?

Angle?
Image Metrics

(Normalized) Sum of Squared Differences

\[ C_{SSD}(d) = \sum_{(u,v) \in W_m(x,y)} [\hat{I}_L(u,v) - \hat{I}_R(u-d,v)]^2 \]

\[ = \| w_L - w_R(d) \|^2 \]

Normalized Correlation

\[ C_{NC}(d) = \sum_{(u,v) \in W_m(x,y)} \hat{I}_L(u,v)\hat{I}_R(u-d,v) \]

\[ = w_L \cdot w_R(d) = \cos \theta \]

\[ d^* = \arg \min_d \| w_L - w_R(d) \|^2 = \arg \max_d w_L \cdot w_R(d) \]
Local Features

Not all points are equally good for matching...
Aperture Problem and Normal Flow
Aperture Problem and Normal Flow
Aperture Problem and Normal Flow
Aperture Problem and Normal Flow
Aperture Problem and Normal Flow
Aperture Problem and Normal Flow
(Review) Differential approach: Optical flow constraint equation

Brightness should stay constant as you track motion

\[ I(x + u\delta t, y + v\delta t, t + \delta t) = I(x, y, t) \]

1\textsuperscript{st} order Taylor series, valid for small \( \delta t \)

\[ I(x, y, t) + u\delta tI_x + v\delta tI_y + \delta tI_t = I(x, y, t) \]

Constraint equation

\[ uI_x + vI_y + I_t = 0 \]

“BCCE” - Brightness Change Constraint Equation
Aperture Problem and Normal Flow

The gradient constraint:

\[ I_x u + I_y v + I_t = 0 \]

\[ \nabla I \cdot \vec{U} = 0 \]

Defines a line in the \((u,v)\) space

Normal Flow:

\[ u_\perp = - \frac{I_t}{|\nabla I|} \frac{\nabla I}{|\nabla I|} \]
Combining Local Constraints

\[ \nabla I^1 \cdot U = -I_t^1 \]
\[ \nabla I^2 \cdot U = -I_t^2 \]
\[ \nabla I^3 \cdot U = -I_t^3 \]

etc.
Lucas-Kanade: Integrate gradients over a Patch

Assume a single velocity for all pixels within an image patch

\[ E(u, v) = \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \left( I_x(x, y)u + I_y(x, y)v + I_t \right)^2 \]

Solve with:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sum I_x^2 & \sum I_x I_y \\
\sum I_x I_y & \sum I_y^2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u \\
v
\end{bmatrix}
= -\begin{bmatrix}
\sum I_x I_t \\
\sum I_y I_t
\end{bmatrix}
\]

On the LHS: sum of the 2x2 outer product tensor of the gradient vector

\[
\left( \sum \nabla I \nabla I^T \right) \vec{u} = -\sum \nabla II_t
\]
Local Patch Analysis
Selecting Good Features

- What’s a “good feature”?
  - Satisfies brightness constancy
  - Has sufficient texture variation
  - Does not have too much texture variation
  - Corresponds to a “real” surface patch
  - Does not deform too much over time
Good Features to Track

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sum I_x^2 & \sum I_x I_y \\
\sum I_x I_y & \sum I_y^2
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u \\
v
\end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix}
\sum I_x I_t \\
\sum I_y I_t
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[A \quad u = b\]

When is This Solvable?

- **A** should be invertible
- **A** should not be too small due to noise
  - eigenvalues \(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\) of **A** should not be too small
- **A** should be well-conditioned
  - \(\lambda_1/\lambda_2\) should not be too large (\(\lambda_1 = \text{larger eigenvalue}\))

Both conditions satisfied when \(\min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) > c\)
Harris detector

Same idea, based on the idea of auto-correlation

Important difference in all directions => interest point
Harris detector

Auto-correlation function for a point \((x, y)\) and a shift \((\Delta x, \Delta y)\)

\[
f(x, y) = \sum_{(x_k, y_k) \in W} (I(x_k, y_k) - I(x_k + \Delta x, y_k + \Delta y))^2
\]

Discret shifts can be avoided with the auto-correlation matrix

with \(I(x_k + \Delta x, y_k + \Delta y) = I(x_k, y_k) + (I_x(x_k, y_k) I_y(x_k, y_k))\begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix}\)

\[
f(x, y) = \sum_{(x_k, y_k) \in W} \begin{pmatrix} I_x(x_k, y_k) & I_y(x_k, y_k) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \end{pmatrix}^2
\]
Harris detector

Auto-correlation matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\Delta x & \Delta y \\
\sum_{(x_k, y_k) \in W} (I_x(x_k, y_k))^2 & \sum_{(x_k, y_k) \in W} I_x(x_k, y_k)I_y(x_k, y_k) \\
\sum_{(x_k, y_k) \in W} I_x(x_k, y_k)I_y(x_k, y_k) & \sum_{(x_k, y_k) \in W} (I_y(x_k, y_k))^2
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\Delta x \\
\Delta y
\end{pmatrix}
\]

- Auto-correlation matrix
  - captures the structure of the local neighborhood
  - measure based on eigenvalues of this matrix
    - 2 strong eigenvalues => interest point
    - 1 strong eigenvalue => contour
    - 0 eigenvalue => uniform region

- Interest point detection
  - threshold on the eigenvalues
  - local maximum for localization
Selecting Good Features

$\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are large
Selecting Good Features

large $\lambda_1$, small $\lambda_2$
Selecting Good Features

small $\lambda_1$, small $\lambda_2$
Feature Distortion

- Feature may change shape over time
  - Need a distortion model to really make this work

Find displacement \((u,v)\) that minimizes SSD error over feature region

\[
\sum_{(x,y) \in F \subset J} [I(W_x(x,y), W_y(x,y)) - J(x,y)]^2
\]

(minimize with respect to \(W_x\) and \(W_y\))

*Shi and Tomasi: use affine model for verification*

\[
W_x(x,y) = ax + by + c
\]

\[
W_y(x,y) = ex + fy + g
\]
Affine Motion

\[
\begin{align*}
u(x, y) &= a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 y \\
v(x, y) &= a_3 + a_4 x + a_5 y
\end{align*}
\]

\[
u(x; a) = (u(x, y), v(x, y))
\]

\[
I(x, t-1) \rightarrow \text{Warp} \rightarrow I(x+u(x; a), t-1) = I(x, t)
\]

*(Brightness Constancy Assumption)*
Affine Motion

\[
\begin{align*}
\ u(x, y) &= a_1 + a_2 x + a_3 y \\
\ v(x, y) &= a_4 + a_5 x + a_6 y
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting into the B.C.C.E.:

\[
I_x \cdot u + I_y \cdot v + I_t \approx 0
\]

\[
I_x (a_1 + a_2 x + a_3 y) + I_y (a_4 + a_5 x + a_6 y) + I_t \approx 0
\]

Each pixel provides 1 linear constraint in 6 \textit{global} unknowns

\textit{(minimum 6 pixels necessary)}

Least Square Minimization (over all pixels):

\[
Err(\tilde{a}) = \sum \left[ I_x (a_1 + a_2 x + a_3 y) + I_y (a_4 + a_5 x + a_6 y) + I_t \right]^2
\]
1: real

2: affine deformation

occlusion
Convergence

iterations
Translation Dissimilarity

occlusion

scaling ?
Affine Dissimilarity
Tracking vs. Indexing

But....

What if you can’t track over time?
Today

Interesting points, correspondence, affine patch tracking

Scale and rotation invariant descriptors [Lowe]
CVPR 2003 Tutorial

Recognition and Matching Based on Local Invariant Features

David Lowe
Computer Science Department
University of British Columbia
Invariant Local Features

- Image content is transformed into local feature coordinates that are invariant to translation, rotation, scale, and other imaging parameters.
Advantages of invariant local features

- **Locality**: features are local, so robust to occlusion and clutter (no prior segmentation)
- **Distinctiveness**: individual features can be matched to a large database of objects
- **Quantity**: many features can be generated for even small objects
- **Efficiency**: close to real-time performance
- **Extensibility**: can easily be extended to wide range of differing feature types, with each adding robustness
Scale invariance

Requires a method to repeatably select points in location and scale:

• The only reasonable scale-space kernel is a Gaussian (Koenderink, 1984; Lindeberg, 1994)

• An efficient choice is to detect peaks in the difference of Gaussian pyramid (Burt & Adelson, 1983; Crowley & Parker, 1984 – but examining more scales)

• Difference-of-Gaussian with constant ratio of scales is a close approximation to Lindeberg’s scale-normalized Laplacian (can be shown from the heat diffusion equation)
Scale space processed one octave at a time
Key point localization

- Detect maxima and minima of difference-of-Gaussian in scale space
- Fit a quadratic to surrounding values for sub-pixel and sub-scale interpolation (Brown & Lowe, 2002)
- Taylor expansion around point:
  \[ D(x) = D + \frac{\partial D^T}{\partial x} x + \frac{1}{2} x^T \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial x^2} x \]
- Offset of extremum (use finite differences for derivatives):
  \[ \hat{x} = -\frac{\partial^2 D^{-1}}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial D}{\partial x} \]
Select canonical orientation

- Create histogram of local gradient directions computed at selected scale
- Assign canonical orientation at peak of smoothed histogram
- Each key specifies stable 2D coordinates (x, y, scale, orientation)
Example of keypoint detection

Threshold on value at DOG peak and on ratio of principle curvatures (Harris approach)

(a) 233x189 image
(b) 832 DOG extrema
(c) 729 left after peak value threshold
(d) 536 left after testing ratio of principle curvatures
SIFT vector formation

- Thresholded image gradients are sampled over 16x16 array of locations in scale space
- Create array of orientation histograms
- 8 orientations x 4x4 histogram array = 128 dimensions
Feature stability to noise

- Match features after random change in image scale & orientation, with differing levels of image noise
- Find nearest neighbor in database of 30,000 features
Feature stability to affine change

- Match features after random change in image scale & orientation, with 2% image noise, and affine distortion
- Find nearest neighbor in database of 30,000 features
Distinctiveness of features

- Vary size of database of features, with 30 degree affine change, 2% image noise
- Measure % correct for single nearest neighbor match
Today

Interesting points, correspondence, affine patch tracking

Scale and rotation invariant descriptors [Lowe]