

What Is A Knowledge Representation?

6.871 - Lecture 10

Outline

- What Is A Representation?
 - Five Roles
- What Should A Representation Be?
- What Consequences Does This View Have For Research And Practice?
 - One answer to a foundational question
 - The "spirit" of a representation
 - » The spirit should be *indulged*
 - In analysis
 - In system construction
 - The central task of knowledge representation

6.871 - Lecture 13

2

Terminology and Perspective

- Inference = getting new expressions from old
Not limited to deductive (sound) inference.
- "Knowledge Representation Technologies":
rules, frames, logic, semantic nets, etc.

6.871 - Lecture 13

3

What Is a KR?

- 1) It's a surrogate.
A substitute for the thing itself.
- 2) It's a set of ontological commitments.
In what terms should I think about the world?
- 3) It's a fragment of a theory of intelligent reasoning.
 - What is intelligence?
 - What can I infer from what I know?
(i.e., which inferences are sanctioned?)
 - What should I infer from what I know?
(i.e., which inferences are recommended?)

6.871 - Lecture 13

4

What Is a KR?

- 4) It's a medium for pragmatically efficient computation.
The computational medium in which thinking is accomplished.
How should I organize information to facilitate that thinking?
- 5) It's a medium of expression and communication.
A language we use to talk to the machine.

6.871 - Lecture 13

5

[1] It's A Surrogate

- A stand-in for the object in the real world.
- Operations on the KR substitute for actions in the world.
- Reasoning is itself a substitute for action.
- (Conversely, actions can substitute for reasoning).

6.871 - Lecture 13

7

[1] It's A Surrogate

- Questions:
 - A surrogate for what? → semantics
 - How accurate a surrogate? → fidelity
 - » More fidelity is not automatically better
 - » Perfect fidelity is impossible.

6.871 - Lecture 13 11

[1] It's A Surrogate

Perfect fidelity is impossible

- We inevitably lie.
- Incorrect inferences are inevitable.
 - Sound reasoning can't save us.
 - A better representation can't save us.
- We have already sinned.
- We may as well be pragmatic about it.

6.871 - Lecture 13 12

[2] Set of Ontological Commitments

- Surrogates are inevitably imperfect
 - KR selection unavoidably makes an OC.
- Commitment occurs even at the level of the KRT's
 - Diagnosis as rules vs. frames.

6.871 - Lecture 13 13

[2] Set of Ontological Commitments

- The commitment accumulates in layers
 - EG: medical diagnosis
 - » frames → prototypes, defaults, taxonomy
 - » prototypes of what?
 - » what diseases?
- Commitment is inevitable
- Commitment is crucial

6.871 - Lecture 13 16

[3] Fragment of a Theory of Intelligent Reasoning

- What are all the inferences am I permitted to make?
 - Example: classical formal logic; sound inference
 - Other answers
 - » Logic: circumscription
 - » Rules: plausible inference
 - » Frames: good matches, expectations, defaults.

6.871 - Lecture 13 17

[3] Fragment of a Theory of Intelligent Reasoning

- What are all the inferences am I permitted to make?
 - Logic: sound inference
- Which inferences am I encouraged to make?
 - Example: Frames
 - » What reasoning to do: anticipatory matching
 - Other examples
 - » SN: propagation; links.
 - » Rules: chaining; associations.
 - » Logic: lemmas; connection graphs.
 - Combinatorial explosions
 - the need for guidance on what we *should* do, not only what we *can* do.

6.871 - Lecture 13 18

[4] Medium for pragmatically efficient computation

- Reasoning with KR means computing with it.
- The pendulum swing
 - Heuristic adequacy (1969)
 - The logicist view (circa 1974)
 - The computational imperative view (circa 1984)

6.871 - Lecture 13

19

[4] Medium for pragmatically efficient computation

- The pragmatics of it: How can I organize information to facilitate reasoning?
 - Example:
Frames — triggers, procedural attachment, taxonomic hierarchies

6.871 - Lecture 13

20

[5] Medium of Expression and Communication

- It's how we say things about the world.
- It's how we communicate with the reasoner.
- In principle, as a medium of expression:
 - How general, how precise?
 - Does it provide expressive adequacy?
- In practice, as a medium of communication:
 - How transparent is it?
Can we understand what's been said?
Can we generate the right expression?

6.871 - Lecture 13

21

What *Should* A Representation Be?

- 1) Surrogate: imperfect fidelity
 - incorrect inference is inevitable
 - take a pragmatic view of soundness/efficiency
- 2) Ontological commitment
 - Unavoidable
 - One of the most important things a KR can supply
 - A source of power
 - Insufficiently explored
 - Important at the tool level

6.871 - Lecture 13

22

What *Should* A Representation Be?

- 3) Theory of intelligent reasoning
 - Representations should inform the reasoner about what inferences should be encouraged

6.871 - Lecture 13

23

What *Should* A Representation Be?

- 4) Medium of pragmatically efficient computation
 - In real use: average time complexity matters.
 - Doing well most of the time on problems actually encountered
 - In real use: worst cases need not be fatal.
 - Coroutine-style resource-limited comp'n is interruptible
 - freedom from requiring guarantees
 - Representation should inform the reasoner about how to organize information to make the encouraged inferences inexpensive, on average.
 - data structures
 - Ex: a-k-o assertions vs a-k-o links

6.871 - Lecture 13

24

What *Should* A Representation Be?

- 5. Medium of expression and communication
 - “Possible” vs. reasonably obvious and natural.

6.871 - Lecture 13

25

What *Should* A Representation Be?

- All five roles matter.
- The five roles characterize the “spirit” of a representation.
- The spirit should be indulged, not overcome.
 - “Programming the representation”
 - If it doesn't fit naturally, design a new one.

6.871 - Lecture 13

26

What *Should* A Representation Be?

Every representation is only one of several possible approximations to reality.

- there is no one right one
 - one or another may be better suited to a specific task
 - need to connect representation to the reasoning to the task
 - Let the domain tell you:
 - a good set of abstractions (ontology) which inferences are needed/recommended
 - Build those abstractions into the language
 - Make the recommended inferences easy

6.871 - Lecture 13

27

What *Should* A Representation Be?

- There's significant power in attending to the domain.
- Domain independent languages are overlooking an important source of power.

6.871 - Lecture 13

28

Summary

- What Is A Representation
 1. It's a surrogate, one of several approximations.
 2. It's a set of ontological commitments.
 3. It's a fragment of a theory of intelligent reasoning.
 4. It's a medium for pragmatically efficient computation.
 5. It's a medium of expression and communication.

6.871 - Lecture 13

29

Summary

- What Should A Representation Be?
 - Pragmatic in its view soundness and efficiency.
 - Strong in ontological commitment.
 - Pluralistic in defining sanctioned inferences.
 - Effective in recommending inferences and organizing information.
 - Efficient in the average case (pragmatic efficiency)
 - Effective as a medium of communication.
 - Supported by guarantees but not limited by them.
 - Focused on the world.
 - Rich in abstractions matched to the task.
 - Indulged.

6.871 - Lecture 13

30

Summary

- Fundamental task of KR:
Capturing the richness of the natural world.