Announcements: - Quiz out; due April 21 - Individual, but please feel free to ask in OH/private Piazza posts - Project mentors assigned; your group should hear from them soon if not yet

of today is to understand LWE more fully. Goal Why? assumptions are crucial Crypto ... the foundation of cryptography's utility are no stronger than the assumptions used.

LWE and its assumed hardness
"learning with errors"
Need four parameters to define instance of LWE

$$(g, n, m, X)$$

prime g is the field size of Zg
n is number of variables to "learn" (ie determine)
m is number of equations to solve for the variables
X is prob. dist. for the "errors" (i.e. noise distribution)
Mildly misleading, X is not necessarily, nor usually, the chi distribution
Also denoted ϕ , it is common to take noise from the
 $(discrete)$ normal distribution
 $V = E[X] = 0$
so everything $Var[X] = \sigma^2$ (more on σ)
integer and ve
don't leave Zg

Two main forms of LWE assumption:
Both take
$$s \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$$
, $A \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$, $e \in X^{m}$ (each element sounded
independently)
Arguably the intuitive form:
Search LWE:
Get (A, $sA + e$).
Find 5.
Hardness: no PPT algorithm A can output s with
non-negligible probability
Must we saw on Wednesday:
Decision LWE:
Get (A, b) where $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{m}$

Decide: did you get (A, sA+e) or (A, Uzm)? Hardness: no PPT A can correctly decide with probability greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ + negl(n) guessing asymptotic advantage is negligible

N is the security parameter
What can we say about the other parameters
as a function of
$$n$$
?
i.e. $g(n)$
 $m(n)$
 $\chi(n)$

equal to n

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\left[n_{o}t \text{ full rank}\right] &= \sum_{k=m-n+1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{q^{n-1}}\right)^{k} & \text{geometric series} \\ \sum_{k=n}^{b-1} x^{k} &= \frac{x^{n} - x^{b}}{1 - x} \leq \frac{x^{n}}{1 - x} \\ & x = \frac{1}{q} \implies \leq \frac{1}{q^{n-1}(q^{n-1})} \\ & x = \frac{1}{q} \implies \leq \frac{1}{q^{n-1}(q^{n-1})} \\ & \text{Easy to make negligible!} \end{aligned}$$

N.W.

What about the noise
$$\chi$$
?
Uniform noise, $e \in \mathbb{Z}_{g}^{m}$, is too much.
Now sA+e really is uniform, so we have
information-theoretic briding ...
but also now A and sA+e are independent
so the tuple is useless for crypto.
But no noise, $\chi = S(\chi)$ for $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}_{g}$, is not-hard at all
With discrete normal noise, standard deviation
 σ , it turns out the sweet spot is
to have $\sigma \leq g$ such that
 $\frac{g}{\sigma} = poly(\Lambda)$
So g and σ are relatively determined
for hardness of LWE to hold.
When $\frac{g}{\sigma} = poly(\Lambda)$, best known algorithm can be
 $\chi = poly(\Lambda)$, $\chi = S(\chi)$ not enough noise and $\exists \chi_{m}$.

Pld crypts from LWE:

$$Gen(1): s \leftarrow Z_{S}^{n} \quad A \leftarrow Z_{S}^{n\times n} \quad \text{memberel}$$

$$e \leftarrow -\chi^{2}$$

$$p_{K}=(A, b) \quad b = sA + e$$

$$f_{K}= s$$

$$E_{-c}\left(p_{K}, M\right): M \in \{0, 0, \dots, 0\}$$

$$f \leftarrow \chi^{n} \qquad M = M^{2}\left[\frac{3}{3}\right]$$

$$CT = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[A\|b\right] + \left[0, 0, \dots, 0\right] M$$

$$(n \times n + 1) \text{matrix}$$

$$Dec(sk, C1): \text{ compate:} \quad CT \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -s \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}A\|b\right] + \begin{bmatrix}0\|A\|\right] + \begin{bmatrix}0\|A\|\right]}{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}-sA + b \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + M$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix}-sA + sA + e \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + M$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot e + M$$

$$E\left[[1 + el] = n \sigma^{2} \ll g, \quad so \quad \text{if} \qquad 1 \cdot e + M \sim g, \quad so \quad 1 \text{ then } M \text{ was } 1$$

$$other wise, M \text{ was } 1$$