

TODAY: Succinct data structures  
= small space, often static

Implicit DS: space =  $\underbrace{\text{OPT}}_{\text{information theoretic}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{O}(1) \text{ bits}}_{\text{for rounding}}$

- typically, DS is "just the data", permuted in some order
- e.g. sorted array, heap

Succinct DS: space = OPT +  $\mathcal{o}(\text{OPT})$

- lead constant of 1

Compact DS: space =  $\mathcal{O}(\text{OPT})$

- often a factor of  $w$  smaller than "linear-space" data structures
  - e.g. suffix trees use  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  words for  $n$ -bit string

## Minisurvey:

- implicit dynamic search tree:

[Franceschini & Grossi - ICALP 2003/WADS 2003]

$O(\lg n)$  worst-case time / insert, delete, predecessor  
also  $O(\log_B N)$  cache oblivious

- succinct dictionary: [Brodnik & Munro - SICOMP 1999;

$\lg \binom{u}{n} + O(n \frac{(\lg \lg n)^2}{\lg n})$  bits Pagh - SICOMP 2001]

$O(1)$  membership query (static)

today

- \* succinct binary trie: [Munro & Raman - SICOMP 2001]

$C_n = \binom{2n}{n} / (n+1) \sim 4^n$  such tries (Catalan)

$$\lg C_n + o(\lg C_n) = 2n + o(n) \text{ bits}$$

$O(1)$  left child, right child, parent, subtree size

- $O(1)$  ins./del. leaf, subdivide edge [Farzan & Munro - ICALP 2009]

- succinct k-ary trie: (e.g. suffix tree) [Farzan & Munro - SWAT 2008]

$C_n^k = \binom{kn+1}{n} / (kn+1)$  tries,  $\lg C_n^k + o$  bits

$O(1)$  child with label i, parent, subtree size, ...

improving [Benoit, Demaine, Munro, Raman, Raman, Rao - Algorithmica 2005]

- succinct permutations: [Munro, Raman, Raman, Rao - ICALP 2003]

$\lg n! + o(n)$  bits,  $O(\frac{\lg n}{\lg \lg n})$  time to compute  $\pi^k(x) \forall k$

$(1+\epsilon) n \lg n$  bits,  $O(1)$  time  $\pi^k$  (including  $k < 0$ )

↳ generalizes to functions [Munro & Rao - ICALP 2004]

- compact Abelian groups: [Farzan & Munro - ISSAC 2006]

$O(\lg n)$  bits for group of order  $n$  (!) or elt. in group

$O(1)$  multiply, inverse, equality testing

- graphs [Farzan & Munro - ESA 2008; Barbay, Aleardi, He, Munro - ISAAC 2007]


## Level-order representation of binary tries: [Munro]

for each node in level order:

- write  $0/1$  for whether have left child
- write  $0/1$  for whether have right child

$\Rightarrow 2n$  bits

e.g:



1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
(1)	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Equivalently:

- append external node (•) for each missing child
- for each node in level order:

    write  $0$  if external,  $1$  if internal

$\Rightarrow$  extra leading  $1$  ( $2n+1$  bits)

Navigation: (in external-node view)

left & right children of  $i$ th internal node  
are at positions  $2i$  &  $2i+1$

Proof: [possible much simpler proof  
by induction on  $i$ ]

- suppose  $i$ th internal node is at position  $i+j$   
i.e.  $j$  external nodes up to  $i$ th internal node
  - $i-1$  previous internal nodes have  
 $2(i-1)$  children
  - $i-1$  already seen as internal nodes (all but root)
  - $j$  already seen as external nodes
- $$\Rightarrow 2(i-1) - (i-1) - j = i - j - 1 \text{ left intervening}$$
- $$\Rightarrow \text{left child at pos. } (i+j) + (i+j-1) + 1 = 2i \quad \square$$

Rank & Select in bit string:

$\text{rank}_1(i) = \# 1's \text{ at or before position } i$   
 $\text{select}_1(j) = \text{position of } j\text{th } 1 \text{ bit}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{left-child}(i) &= 2 \text{ rank}_1(i) \\ \text{right-child}(i) &= 2 \text{ rank}_1(i) + 1 \\ \text{parent}(i) &= \text{select}\left(\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor\right) \end{aligned}$$

(but subtree-size impossible in level-order rep.)

Rank: [Jacobsen - FOCS 1989]

- ① use lookup table for bitstrings of length  $\frac{1}{2} \lg n$   
 $\Rightarrow O(\underbrace{\sqrt{n}}_{\text{bitstring}} \lg n \lg \lg n)$  bits of space  
query i answer

- ② split into  $(\lg^2 n)$ -bit chunks:



$\uparrow$  store cumulative rank:  $\lg n$  bits  
 $\Rightarrow O(\frac{n}{\lg^2 n} \lg n) = O(\frac{n}{\lg n})$  bits

(couldn't afford  $\lg n$ -bit chunks)

- ③ split each chunk into  $(\frac{1}{2} \lg n)$ -bit subchunks:



$\frac{1}{2} \lg n$   $\uparrow$  store cumulative rank within chunk:  $\lg \lg n$  bits  
 $\Rightarrow O(\frac{n}{\lg n} \lg \lg n) = O(n)$  bits

- ④ rank = rank of chunk

+ relative rank of subchunk within chunk  
+ relative rank of element within subchunk  
(via lookup table)

$\Rightarrow O(1)$  time,  $O(n \frac{\lg \lg n}{\lg n})$  bits

-  $O(n / \lg^k n)$  bits possible for any  $k=O(1)$

[Pătrașcu - FOCS 2008]

## Select: [Clark & Munro - Clark's PhD 1996]

① store array of indices of every  $(\lg n \lg \lg n)$ th 1 bit  
 $\Rightarrow O(\frac{n}{\lg n \lg \lg n} \lg n) = O(n/\lg \lg n)$  bits

② within group of  $\lg n \lg \lg n$  1 bits, say  $r$  bits:  
 if  $r \geq (\lg n \lg \lg n)^2$

then store array of indices of 1 bits in group

$$\Rightarrow O(\underbrace{\frac{n}{(\lg n \lg \lg n)^2}}_{\# \text{such groups}} \underbrace{(\lg n \lg \lg n)}_{\# \text{1 bits}} \underbrace{\lg n}_{\text{index}})$$

else reduced to bitstring of length  $r \leq (\lg n \lg \lg n)^2$

③ repeat ① & ② on all reduced bitstrings  
 to reduce to bitstrings of length  $(\lg \lg n)^{O(1)}$

①' store relative index ( $\lg \lg n$  bits) of every  
 $(\lg \lg n)^2$ th 1 bit ( $\lg \lg n \lg \lg \lg n$  also OK but bigger)  
 $\Rightarrow O(\frac{n}{(\lg \lg n)^2} \lg \lg n) = O(\frac{n}{\lg \lg n})$  bits

②' within group of  $(\lg \lg n)^2$  1 bits, say  $r$  bits:  
 if  $r \geq (\lg \lg n)^4$

then store relative indices of 1 bits

$$\Rightarrow O(\underbrace{\frac{n}{(\lg \lg n)^4}}_{\# \text{such groups}} \underbrace{(\lg \lg n)^2}_{\# \text{1 bits}} \underbrace{\lg \lg n}_{\text{rel. index}})$$


else reduced to bitstring of length  $r \leq (\lg \lg n)^4$

④ use lookup table for bitstrings of length  $\leq \frac{1}{2} \lg n$   
 $\Rightarrow O(\underbrace{\sqrt{n}}_{\# \text{bitstrings}} \underbrace{\lg n}_{\text{query}} \underbrace{\lg \lg n}_{j \text{ answer}})$


$$\Rightarrow O(1) \text{ query, } O(\frac{n}{\lg \lg n}) \text{ bits}$$

-  $O(n/\lg^k n)$  bits  $\wedge k=O(1)$  [Patrascu - FOCS 2008]

Binary tries as balanced parentheses: [Munro & Raman - SICOMP 2001]



rooted ordered tree



balanced parens (=bitstring)

(( ( ) ( ) ) ( ( ) ) ( ))  
\*A B B C C D D A E F F E G G \*

node

left child

right child

parent

subtree size

node

first child

next sibling

prev. sibling

OR parent

size(node) +  
sizes(<sub>right</sub>  
<sub>siblings</sub>)

left paren. [& matching right]  
next char. [if (, else none]  
char. after matching ) [if ()]  
prev. char. )  $\Rightarrow$  its matching (   
prev. char. (  $\Rightarrow$  that (   
 $\frac{1}{2}$  distance to enclosing )

- similar to (& using) rank & select, can find matching & enclosing parens. in  $O(1)$  time,  $O(n)$  space  
 $\Rightarrow$  all operations above in  $O(1)$  time
- from subtree size can accumulate index of node for auxiliary data (e.g. pointer to text)