Origami and Constructible Numbers (and some other stuff)

Tom Hull, Merrimack College thull@merrimack.edu

Straightedge and Compass basic operations

- Given two points P₁ and P₂, we can draw the line P₁P₂.
- Given a point P and a line segment of length r, we can draw a circle centered at P with radius r.
- We can locate intersection points, if they exist, between lines and circles.

What are the Basic Operations of Origami?

What are the Basic Operations of Origami?

Given two points P_1 and P_2 , we can fold the crease line P_1P_2 .

Given two points P₁ and P₂, we can make a crease that puts P₁ onto P₂.

Given two lines L₁ and L₂, we can make a crease that puts L₁ onto L₂.

The craziest BOO



The most important move in origami (probably)







The deal is: this origami move is actually solving a cubic equation.



The deal is: this origami move is actually solving a cubic equation. (Finding a simultaneous tangent to two parabolas.) Origami can solve any cubic equation Italian mathematician Margherita Beloch proved this in the 1930s. Here's her proof:

Consider the following construction problem: Let A and B be two points and r and s two lines. We want to construct a square that has A and B on opposite sides (or extensions) and has two adjacent vertices lying on the lines r and s.



Origami can solve any cubic equation We can make this square construction with origami. Let d_1 be a line parallel to r, where $dist(A, r) = dist(r, d_1).$ Let d_2 be a line parallel B' to s, where $dist(B, s) = dist(s, d_2).$

Then fold A -> d_1 and B -> d_2 simultaneously. The crease gives the top of the square (XY).



Origami can solve any cubic equation We can make this square construction with origami. Let d_1 be a line parallel to r, where $dist(A, r) = dist(r, d_1).$ Let d_2 be a line parallel B' to s, where S $dist(B, s) = dist(s, d_2).$

Then fold A -> d_1 and B -> d_2 simultaneously. The crease gives the top of the square (XY).



Origami can solve any cubic equation

Why is this like solving a cubic equation? Beloch realized that this is just an application of Lill's method for finding real roots of a polynomial!



Origami can solve any cubic equation

Lill's geometric method for finding real roots of any polynomial: $a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + ... + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0 = 0$

Start at O, go a_n, turn 90°, go a_{n-1}, turn 90°, etc, ending at T.



Then shoot from O with an angle θ , bouncing off the walls at right angles, to hit T. Then x = -tan θ is a root. (Lill, 1867) Origami can solve any cubic equation Why does Lill's method work? $P_nQ_{n-1}/a_n = \tan \theta = -x$ So $P_nQ_{n-1} = -a_nx$



(Lill, 1867)

Origami can solve any cubic equation Why does Lill's method work? $P_nQ_{n-1}/a_n = \tan \theta = -x$ So $P_nQ_{n-1} = -a_nx$

 $P_{n-1}Q_{n-2} / (a_{n-1} - P_n Q_{n-1}) = -x$ So $P_{n-1}Q_{n-2} = -x(a_{n-1} + a_n x)$



(Lill, 1867)

Origami can solve any cubic equation Why does Lill's method work? $P_nQ_{n-1}/a_n = \tan \theta = -x$ So $P_nQ_{n-1} = -a_nx$

 $P_{n-1}Q_{n-2} / (a_{n-1}-P_nQ_{n-1}) = -x$ So $P_{n-1}Q_{n-2} = -x(a_{n-1} + a_nx)$ Similarly, $P_{n-2}Q_{n-3} = -x(a_{n-2}+x(a_{n-1}+a_nx))$ Continuing...



 $a_0 = P_1T = -a_1x - a_2x^2 - \dots - a_{n-1}x^{n-1} - a_nx^n$ or, $a_nx^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0 = 0.$ (Lill, 1867) Origami can solve any cubic equation Why is this like solving a cubic equation?

Finding our "construction square" is the same as "shooting the turtle" in

the n=3 case!



Origami can solve any cubic equation Let's find the roots of the cubic $z^3 - 7z - 6$.

Origami can solve any cubic equation Let's find the roots of the cubic $z^3 - 7z - 6$.



Origami can solve any cubic equation Let's find the roots of the cubic $z^3 - 7z - 6$.



The Algebraic Perspective

The set of constructible numbers under SE&C is the smallest subfield of \mathbb{C} (complex #s) that is closed under square roots. or...

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is SE&C constructible if and only if $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^n$ for some $n \ge 0$. In other words, α is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} and the degree if its minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Q} is a power of 2.

The Algebraic Perspective

The set of constructible numbers under SE&C is the smallest subfield of \mathbb{C} (complex #s) that is closed under square roots. or...

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is SE&C constructible if and only if $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^n$ for some $n \ge 0$. In other words, α is algebraic over \mathbb{Q} and the degree if its minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Q} is a power of 2.

Origami version: Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be algebraic over \mathbb{Q} , and let $L \supset \mathbb{Q}$ be the splitting field of the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} . Then α is origami constructible from our list of BOOs if and only if $[L:\mathbb{Q}] = 2^a 3^b$ for some integers $a, b \ge 0$.

Oh, but it's worse than that...

Robert Lang's angle quintisection.

