

# LECTURE 12

Note Title

TODAY:

- [VALIANT - VAZIRANI]:  $SAT \leq \text{Unique-SAT}$
- [TODAY'S THEOREM]:  $PH \leq \underbrace{BP^{\oplus P}} \leq P^{\#P}$

————— x —————

Recall from Last time:

Defn:  $\text{Unique-SAT} = (U_{\text{YES}}, U_{\text{NO}})$

$U_{\text{YES}} = \{ \phi \mid \phi \text{ has 1 sat. assignment.} \}$

$U_{\text{NO}} = \{ \phi \mid \phi \text{ has 0 sat. " } \}$

————— x —————

Defn: (Randomized "BP" reduction)

$\Pi \leq_R \Gamma$  if  $\exists$  randomized alg.  $f$  s.t.  
 $x \in \Pi_{\text{YES}} \Rightarrow f(x) \in \Gamma_{\text{YES}}$  w.p.  $\geq \frac{1}{p(n)}$   
 $x \in \Pi_{\text{NO}} \Rightarrow f(x) \notin \Gamma_{\text{NO}}$  w.p.  $\leq s(n)$

$s(n) = 0 \Rightarrow$  "RP" reduction.

————— $\times$ —————

[Valiant - Vazirani] Theorem:

$\text{SAT} \leq_{\text{RP}} \text{Unique-SAT}$

Proof (Idea):

Given  $\phi$ :

- Pick  $m$ ,  $h: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^m$  at  
"random"

- Output  $\psi(x) = \phi(x) \wedge [h(x) = \bar{0}]$ .  
↑  
formula if

To formalize idea, need:

- Small set  $\mathcal{H}$  of functions

$$\{h: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^m\}$$

- Every  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  should be polynomially computable (have small formula).

- $\forall S \subseteq \{0,1\}^m$   $2 \leq |S| \leq 2^{m-1}$

$$\Pr[\exists! x \in S \text{ st. } h(x) = \bar{0}] \geq \Omega(1)$$



How to get such family.

"6.046"  $\Rightarrow$  "Pairwise Independent Hashing"

aka "Universal Hashing".

Defn:  $\mathcal{H}$  is pairwise independent if

$$\forall x \neq y \in \{0,1\}^n ; \forall a, b \in \{0,1\}^m$$

$$\Pr_{h \in \mathcal{H}} [h(x) = a ; h(y) = b] = \frac{1}{4^m} .$$

————— x —————

Lemma 1: If  $\mathcal{H}$  is pw-i. then  $\forall S \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$

$$2^{m-2} \leq |S| \leq 2^{m-1} ,$$

$$\Pr_{h \in \mathcal{H}} [ \exists ! x \in S , h(x) = \bar{0} ] \geq \frac{1}{8}$$

————— x —————

Lemma 2:  $\exists \mathcal{H}$  s.t.  $\mathcal{H}$  is easy to sample  
& every  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  is polytime computable.

## Proof of Lemma 1:

- Fix  $x \neq y \in S$

$$\Pr[h(x)=0 \wedge h(y)=0] = \frac{1}{4^m}$$

- Fix  $x \in S$

$$\Pr[h(x)=0 \wedge (\forall y \in S - \{x\} \ h(y) \neq 0)]$$

$$= \Pr[h(x)=0]$$

$$- \sum_{y \in S - \{x\}} \Pr[h(x)=0 = h(y)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^m} - \frac{|S|-1}{4^m} \geq \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \quad [ |S| \leq 2^{m-1} ]$$

- $\Pr[\exists x \in S \text{ s.t. } (h(x)=0) \wedge (\forall y \in S - \{x\} \ h(y) \neq 0)]$

$$= \sum_{x \in S} \Pr[\text{ } \downarrow \text{ } ] \geq \frac{|S|}{2^{m+1}} \geq \frac{1}{8}$$

$(|S| \geq 2^{m-2})$



## Proof of Lemma 2:

(Many constructions are known)

$$H = \left\{ h_{A,b}(x) = Ax + b \mid \begin{array}{l} A \in \{0,1\}^{m \times n} \\ b \in \{0,1\}^m \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Pr_{A,b} [Ax + b = \alpha \quad \& \quad Ay + b = \beta]$$

$$= \Pr [A(x-y) = \alpha - \beta \quad \& \quad b = \alpha - Ax]$$

$$= \Pr [A(x-y) = \alpha - \beta] \cdot \frac{1}{2^m}$$

$$= \Pr [i^{\text{th}} \text{ column of } A = \dots] \cdot \frac{1}{2^m} = \frac{1}{4^m}$$

(where  $(x-y)_i = 1$ )



## [TODA]'s THEOREM:

$$P^{\#P} \subseteq P^{\#P}$$

### Notes:

1.  $P^{\#P}$  equals problems solvable with oracle to  $\#SAT$ .

In our case will consider languages of the form

$$L = \{ (m, n, a, b) \mid m \text{ polynomial } \underline{e}$$

$$\#\{y \mid m(x,y) \text{ accepts}\} \leq a \pmod{b} \}$$

2. Theorem doesn't mention randomness.

# Crucial Intermediate Concepts

• For class  $C$  can consider

$$\oplus \cdot C = \{ \oplus \cdot L, L \in C \}$$

where  $\oplus L = \{ x \mid \# y \text{ s.t.}$

$\{(x, y) \in L\}$  is even  $\}$ .

• For class  $C$  can consider

$$\text{(strong) BP} \cdot C = \bigcap_{\text{poly } q(n)} \left\{ \bigcup_{L \in C} (\text{BP}_{q(n)} \cdot L) \right\}$$

$$(\text{BP}_{q(n)} \cdot L)_{\text{YES}} = \left\{ x \mid \Pr_y [(x, y) \in L] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^{q(n)}} \right\}$$

$$(\text{BP}_{q(n)} \cdot L)_{\text{NO}} = \left\{ x \mid \Pr_y [(x, y) \in L] \leq \frac{1}{2^{q(n)}} \right\}.$$



# Central Class

BP ·  $\oplus$  · P

⊆

L given by polytime machine  $M(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$

s.t.

$$L = \left\{ x \mid \Pr_y \left[ \#\{z \text{ s.t. } M(x, y, z) \text{ accepts}\} = \text{even} \right] \geq 1 - 2^{-q(n)} \right\}$$

1. Not an "intuitive class".

2. Clearly solvable in PSPACE;

also in  $P^{\#\text{P}}$

↑

2 levels of counting.

3. Surprising results of [TODA].

Lemma 1:  $PH \subseteq BP \cdot \oplus \cdot P$

(Proved as in Valiant-Vazirani,  
+ some nice calculus)

Lemma 2:  $BP \cdot \oplus \cdot P \subseteq P^{\#P}$

(Quite surprising, but not too hard)



Today: Start proof of Lemma 1.

# Main Ideas :

## 1. [Variant-Vazirani]

$$NP \subseteq \text{Weak-BP} \cdot \overline{\oplus} \cdot P$$

↑  
Good case  $\rightarrow$  sat. assignment.

Bad case  $\circ$  " .

## 2. So hopefully

$$NP \subseteq (\text{strong}) \text{BP} \oplus P$$

$$\text{co-NP} \subseteq \text{BP} \oplus P$$

## 3. By induction/extension

$$\sum_{k=1}^P \prod_{k-1}^P \subseteq \text{BP} \cdot \overline{\oplus} \cdot P$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^P \prod_{k=1}^P \subseteq \text{BP} \cdot \overline{\oplus} \cdot \text{BP} \cdot \overline{\oplus} \cdot P$$

4. For reasonable class  $C$  [in our case  $\oplus P$ ]

$$\oplus \cdot BP \cdot C \subseteq BP \cdot \oplus \cdot C$$

[holds only for strong BP]

5. For reasonable class  $C$  [again  $\oplus \cdot P$ ]

$$BP \cdot BP \cdot C \subseteq BP \cdot C$$

[holds only for strong BP]

6. For reasonable class  $C$  [this time  $P$ ]

$$\oplus \cdot \oplus \cdot C \subseteq \oplus \cdot C$$

7. Using 3, 4, 5, 6 get

$$\sum_k^P \subseteq BP \cdot \oplus \cdot P$$

Leds start with (2)

Lemma 1.1  $NP \subseteq BP \oplus P$

Proof: Fix  $L \in NP$  given by  $M$

By  $\forall x \exists m'$  st.

$\exists y \quad M(x, y)$  accepts

$$\Leftrightarrow \Pr_h \left[ \exists! y \quad M(x, h, y) \text{ accepts} \right] \geq \frac{1}{P(n)}$$

$$\left( \text{else} \quad \downarrow \quad = 0 \right)$$

Let  $m''(x, h, y) = 1$  if  $m'(x, h, y) = 1$

&  $m''(x, h, \bar{0}) = 1$

$$\text{Then } x \in L \Rightarrow \Pr_h \left[ \#\{y \mid m''(x, h, y) \text{ even}\} \geq \frac{1}{P(n)} \right]$$

$$x \notin L \Rightarrow \text{''} \quad = 0.$$

$M^{(3)}(x, h_1, \dots, h_k)$  accepts  
 $y_1, \dots, y_k$

if  $M''(x, h_1, y_1)$  accepts

and  $M''(x, h_2, y_2)$  accepts

⋮

and  $M''(x, h_k, y_k)$  accepts.

Claim

$\Pr_{h_1, \dots, h_k} \left[ \# \{ (y_1, \dots, y_k) \text{ s.t. } M^{(3)}(x, h_1, \dots, h_k, y_1, \dots, y_k) \text{ accepts} \} \text{ even} \right]$

$= \Pr_{h_1, \dots, h_k} \left[ \exists i \text{ s.t. } \# \{ y_i : M''(x, h_i, y_i) \text{ accepts} \} \text{ even} \right]$

$\geq 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{P(n)} \right)^k = 1 - 2^{-2(n)}$  if  $k$  suff. large.

## Abstracting / Extending (2)

### Proof Needs two elements

- $\mathcal{C}$  closed under complementing  
[to construct  $M''$  from  $M'$ ]
- $\mathcal{C}$  closed under AND  
[to construct  $M^{(3)}$  from  $M''$ ]

Claim:  $BP \oplus P$  closed under complementation,  
and.

(Proof omitted)

Lemma 1.2:  $\exists \cdot BP \oplus P \subseteq BP \oplus BP \oplus P$

$\forall \cdot BP \oplus P \subseteq$  " .

