

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Induction III

Strong Induction

Least Number Principle

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong Induction

Allows proving $P(n)$ from *all* of $P(0), P(1), \dots, P(n-1)$, instead of just $P(n-1)$.

Rosen calls Strong Induction: The *Second Principle* of Induction

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong Induction

Nothing new: Ordinary Induction, started at 0, then

$0 \rightarrow 1, 1 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 3, \dots, n \rightarrow n+1$.
So by the time you get to $n+1$, you already got to all $k < n+1$.

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong Induction

Note:

By convention, strong induction target is n rather than $n+1$:

$0 \rightarrow 1, 1 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 3, \dots, n-1 \rightarrow n$.

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong Induction

If n is red when everything $< n$ is red

Then everything is red.

$$\frac{[\forall k < n R(k)] \rightarrow R(n)}{\forall n R(n)}$$

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong Induction

In strong induction, the base case may be built into the induction step:

At $n = 0$, Strong Induction step allows assuming $P(k)$ for all $k < n = 0$.
There aren't any such $k \in \emptyset$!

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong Induction

So you have to prove $P(0)$ assuming nothing – acts like a base case but appears within the induction step.

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong Induction

Example:



5¢



3¢

stamps

Theorem: can form any amount $\geq 8¢$
 Prove by **strong induction on n** .
 $P(n) ::=$ if $n \geq 8$, can form $n¢$.

(Picture source: http://sitel7585.dellhost.com/laj/facts/a_events.htm
<http://www.febstf.org/currency/civilwar/stamps/s150.html>)

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong Induction

Assume $m¢$ for all $m < n$ in order to prove $n¢$.

Proof by cases:

$n < 8¢$: *vacuously true*

$n = 8¢$:



6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong Induction

Proof by cases:

$n = 9¢$:



$n = 10¢$:



6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong Induction

Case $n \geq 11¢$:

Now $n > n - 3 \geq 8$, so

by Strong Induction we have:



6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong vs. Ordinary Induction

MetaTheorem: Can transform any Strong Induction proof into Ordinary Induction.

We'll illustrate with the 8¢ example, but simple transformation always works for *any* example.

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong vs. Ordinary Induction

Theorem: Can form any amount $\geq 8\phi$

Reprove by *ordinary* induction using induction hypothesis:

$$Q(n) ::= \forall k \leq n P(k)$$

$::= \forall k \leq n$ if $k \geq 8$, can form $k\phi$.

Earlier Strong Induction now goes through by Ordinary Induction.

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Strong vs. Ordinary Induction

So why use Strong?

-- **Convenience:** no need to include $\forall k \leq n$ all over.

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2



6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Problems

Class Problems 1 & 2

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Least Number Principle

Called *Well-ordering Property*
by Rosen

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Least Number Principle

Every nonempty set of
nonnegative integers
has a
least element.

Familiar? Now you mention it, **Yes.**
Obvious? **Yes.**
Trivial? **Yes. But watch out:**

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Least Number Principle

Every nonempty set of
nonnegative rationals
has a
least element.

NO!

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Least Number Principle

Every nonempty set of
~~*nonnegative integers*~~
has a
least element.

NO!

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Least Number Principle

Theorem: Every integer > 1 is a product of primes.

Prove using LNP.

Proof: (by contradiction) Suppose **not**.
Then set of nonproducts is nonempty.
By LNP, there is a least $n > 1$ that is not a product of primes.
In particular, n is not prime.

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Least Number Principle

Theorem: Every integer > 1 is a product of primes.

Proof: ...So $n = k \cdot m$ for integers k, m where $n > k, m > 1$.

Since k, m smaller than the *least* nonproduct, both are prime products, eg.,

$$k = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_{94}$$

$$m = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdots q_{214}$$

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Least Number Principle

Theorem: Every integer > 1 is a product of primes.

...So

$n = k \cdot m = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_{94} \cdot q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdots q_{214}$
is a prime product, **a contradiction.**

\therefore The set of nonproducts > 1
must be empty. **QED**

6	9	12	7
12	10	8	
3	1	4	14
15	8	11	2

Problems

Class Problems 3 & 4