

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Laws of Probability

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Probability Spaces

- 1) Sample space, \mathcal{S} , whose elements are called **outcomes**.
- 2) Probability function, $\text{Pr}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0,1]$
 - (a) $\text{Pr}\{\mathcal{S}\} = 1$,
 - (b) the **Sum Rule**:

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Basic Laws of Probability

Sum Rule

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pr}\{A_1 \cup A_2\} \\ = \text{Pr}\{A_1\} + \text{Pr}\{A_2\} \\ \text{for } A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Sum Rule for Sets

Corresponding Rule for Sets:

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1 \cup A_2| &= |A_1| + |A_2| \\ \text{for } A_1 \cap A_2 &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Difference Rule

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pr}\{A - B\} &= \\ &\text{Pr}\{A\} - \text{Pr}\{A \cap B\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:
 A is the disjoint union of $A - B$ and $A \cap B$.

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	8	14
15	11	16	2

Complement Rule

$$\text{Pr}\{\bar{B}\} = 1 - \text{Pr}\{B\}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pr}\{\bar{B}\} &= \text{Pr}\{\mathcal{S} - B\} \\ &= \text{Pr}\{\mathcal{S}\} - \text{Pr}\{\mathcal{S} \cap B\} \text{ (Diff Rule)} \\ &= 1 - \text{Pr}\{B\}. \end{aligned}$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Inclusion-Exclusion

$$\Pr\{A \cup B\} = \Pr\{A\} + \Pr\{B\} - \Pr\{A \cap B\}$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Boole's Inequality

$$\Pr\{A \cup B\} \leq \Pr\{A\} + \Pr\{B\}$$

Monotonicity

$$\Pr\{A\} \leq \Pr\{A \cup B\}$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Basic Laws of Probability

Infinite Sum Rule

$$\Pr\{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots\} = \Pr\{A_1\} + \Pr\{A_2\} + \dots$$

for **pairwise disjoint** A_n .

$$\Pr\{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Pr\{A_n\}$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Boole's Inequality

$$\Pr\{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Pr\{A_n\}$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Boole's Inequality

Example: 10,000 transistor chip with $\Pr\{\text{transistor failure}\} \approx 1/1,000,000$.
Chip fails if any transistor fails.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{\text{Chip fails}\} &= \Pr\{\cup [i\text{th transistor fails}]\} \\ &\leq \sum \Pr\{i\text{th transistor fails}\} \\ &\approx (1/M) \cdot (10,000) = 0.01 \end{aligned}$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Boole's Inequality

So $\Pr\{\text{Chip works}\} \approx 0.99$, **without any assumptions** on how failures occur, e.g., chips

- all **fail together**, or
- **never fail together**, or
- are **unrelated**.

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

In-class Problem

Problem 1

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Probability Analysis Method

1. Identify outcomes (*tree helps*)
2. Identify event (*e.g. winning*)
3. Assign outcome probabilities
4. Compute event probabilities (*sum outcome probabilities*)

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Assigning Outcome Probabilities

Often reason from **symmetry**:

- one 5-card hand as likely as another,
- Heads as likely as Tails,
- $\Pr\{\text{hit radius } r \text{ target}\} = (1/4) \cdot \Pr\{\text{hit radius } 2r \text{ target}\}$
- Monty Hall tree structure

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Assigning Outcome Probabilities

Also reason from **data**:

- $\Pr\{\text{family pet is a cat}\} \approx 0.69$
- $\Pr\{\text{Massachusetts voter is Republican}\} \approx 0.43$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Assigning Outcome Probabilities

But probability assignment is an **axiom**. Nothing is *mathematically* wrong with bad choices, eg, uniform Monty Hall outcomes, or very skewed probabilities for Heads versus Tails. Conclusions based on bad assignments will be *perfectly consistent*, they just **won't predict reality** well.

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2



Penny Flipping Experiment

- Balance 40 pennies **on their edges**. (**Separately!**)
- Pound the table till all fall.
- Record $h ::= \#\text{heads}$, $t ::= \#\text{pennies}$.
- Repeat at least 3 times.

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

The End

Lecture ended here without getting to subsequent slides.

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Conditional Probability

“Knowledge” changes probabilities:

$$\Pr\{\text{die rolled } 1\} = 1/|\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}| = 1/6.$$

$$\Pr\{\text{die rolled } 1 \text{ knowing that die rolled odd number}\} = 1/|\{1,3,5\}| = 1/3.$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Conditional Probability

$\Pr\{A | B\} ::=$ probability of event A given that event B has occurred. Formally,

$$\Pr\{A | B\} ::= \frac{\Pr\{A \cap B\}}{\Pr\{B\}}$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Conditional probability for Monty Hall

$$\Pr\{\text{prize at Door 1} | \text{Carol opens 2}\} = 1/2. \text{ Really!}$$

$$[\text{Carol opens 2}] = \underbrace{\{(1,1,2), (1,3,2)\}}_{\Pr = \frac{1}{18}}, \underbrace{\{(3,3,2), (3,1,2)\}}_{\Pr = \frac{1}{9}}$$

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Conditional probability for Monty Hall

Seems contestant may as well **stick**, since the probability is 1/2, given what he “knows” when he gets to stick or switch.

Not so: Contestant knows *more* than door opened by Carol -- also knows: which door he chose himself!

6	9	13	7
12	10	5	
3	4	14	
15	8	11	2

Conditional probability for Monty Hall

$$\Pr\{\text{prize at Door 1} | \text{Contestant chose 1 \& Carol opens 2}\} = 1/3.$$

$$[\text{Contestant chose 1 \& Carol opens 2}] = \underbrace{\{(1,1,2)\}}_{\Pr = \frac{1}{18}}, \underbrace{\{(3,1,2)\}}_{\Pr = \frac{1}{9}}$$



Product Rule

$$\Pr\{A \cap B\} \\ = \Pr\{A \mid B\} \Pr\{B\}$$



In-class Problem

Problems 2&3