## Linear time-invariant systems (LTI)

- As block diagrams: Build from adders, (constant) gains, and delays - no other elements
- As difference equations: Linear with constant coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0} y[n]+a_{1} y[n-1]+\cdots a_{k} y[n-k] \\
& =b_{0} x[n]+b_{1} x[n-1]+\cdots b_{j} x[n-j]
\end{aligned}
$$

- As operator equations: Built from addition, multiplication by constants, and "multiplication" by R

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0} y+a_{1} R y+\cdots a_{k} R^{k} y \\
& =b_{0} x+b_{1} R x+\cdots b_{j} R^{j} x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quiz (review)

$\qquad$
What is the system function for $\qquad$
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## The big fact

- The operator algebra mirrors the behavior of the system, so we can reason about combining systems by doing algebra.
- This is captured by the idea of a system function

$$
H=\frac{\text { output }}{\text { input }}=\frac{y}{x}=\frac{b_{0}+b_{1} R+\cdots b_{j} R^{j}}{a_{0}+a_{1} R+\cdots a_{k} R^{k}}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Theorem

- We can manipulate operator expressions with the rules of ordinary algebra, including multiplication by R.
- If two LTI systems have the same system function, then they have the same input/output behavior
- Provided: All input signals are zero before some initial time

PCAP framework for signals and systems

| Primitives | signal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Combination | adder, gain, delay |
| Abstraction | system function |
| Patterns |  |
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## Quiz

$\qquad$

What are the poles for
$\qquad$

$$
\stackrel{\substack{\underbrace{2}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{R}} \underset{R}{x}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Partial fraction expansion

$\qquad$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Any fraction } \frac{1}{\left(1-p_{1} R\right)\left(1-p_{2} R\right) \cdots\left(1-p_{k} R\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Can be written in the form $\qquad$ $\frac{c_{1}}{\left(1-p_{1} R\right)}+\frac{c_{2}}{\left(1-p_{2} R\right)}+\cdots+\frac{c_{k}}{\left(1-p_{k} R\right)}$ $\qquad$
Provided the p's are all distinct
Proof by algebra: Clear the fractions and solve for the c's

## How to compute the poles

Problem: Find the p's such that
$a_{0}+a_{1} R+a_{2} R^{2}+\cdots+a_{k} R^{k}=A\left(1-p_{1} R\right)\left(1-p_{2} R\right) \cdots\left(1-p_{k} R\right)$
Solution: Substitute $R=1 / z$ to get

$$
a_{0} z^{k}+a_{1} z^{k-1}+a_{2} z^{k-2}+\cdots+a_{k}=A\left(z-p_{1}\right)\left(z-p_{2}\right) \cdots\left(z-p_{k}\right)
$$

So the p's are the roots of

$$
a_{0} z^{k}+a_{1} z^{k-1}+a_{2} z^{k-2}+\cdots+a_{k}=0
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Fibonacci system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y[n]=y[n-1]+y[n-2]+x[n] \\
& H=\frac{y}{x}=\frac{1}{1-R-R^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Poles of Fibonacci system

$H=\frac{y}{x}=\frac{1}{1-R-R^{2}}$
The poles are the roots of

$$
z^{2}-z-1=0
$$

$\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$
$p_{1}=1.618 \quad p_{2}=-0.4471$

Formula for the Fibonacci numbers

$$
y[n]=c_{1}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}+c_{2}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}
$$

Take $y[0]=0$ and $y[1]=1$ and solve for $c 1$ and c2:

$$
y[n]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}
$$

This is an integer for all $n$ !

## Approximating fib[n]

fib[n]: 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55,
$\ggg$ phi $=(1+$ sqrt (5) )/2
$\ggg$ def fibApprox(n):
return phi**n/sqrt(5)
>>> fibApprox (4)
3.0652475842498532
>>> fibApprox (8)
21.009519494249016
>>> fibApprox (10)
55.003636123247432
>>> fibApprox (20)
6765.0000295639356
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
>>>

## Quiz

Find the poles:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y[n]=y[n-1]-y[n-2]+x[n] \\
& H=\frac{1}{1-R+R^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Complex numbers in polar form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=x+y j=M e^{j \theta} \\
& M=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \quad \theta=\arctan (y / x) \\
& z^{n}=M^{n} e^{j n \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y[n] & =(a+b j) M^{n} e^{n j \theta}+(a-b j) M^{n} e^{-n j \theta} \\
& =2 M^{n}(a \cos n \theta+b \sin n \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is an exponential that gows or decays as $M^{n}$, times a sinusoid of frequency $\theta$

[^0]$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## 

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y[n]=y[n-1]-y[n-2]+x[n] \\
& p=0.5 \pm j \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
& M=1 \quad \theta=60^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$


$\qquad$

$$
y[n]=0.9 y[n-1]-0.7 y[n-2]+x[n]
$$

$$
p=0.45 \pm 0.705 j
$$

$$
M=0.837 \quad \theta=57^{\circ}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$



## Generalizing the story (I): To arbitrary transient inputs

- The response to a sum of impulses is a sum of the responses. So we just add them up. The answer is still of the form a sum of constants times powers of the poles.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Generalizing the story (II): To more that two poles

- The partial fraction decomposition still works (assuming the poles as all distinct). So the result is still a sum of powers of the $\qquad$ poles.
- The complex poles come in conjugate pairs, so they combine to form sinusoids.
- So everything is just like before, except there are more terms added in.


## Generalizing the story (III): To

 arbitrary system functionsFor

$$
H=\frac{1}{a_{0}+a_{1} R+\cdots a_{k} R^{k}}
$$

We know the form of the response to transient signals. What can we say about

$$
H=\frac{b_{0}+b_{1} R+\cdots b_{j} R^{j}}{a_{0}+a_{1} R+\cdots a_{k} R^{k}}
$$

## Answer

- Having the numerator there doesn't change the general form of the response (although the constants change).


## The final result

- For any LTI system, the response to any transient signal is a sum of geometric series in the poles*

$$
c_{1} p_{1}^{n}+c_{2} p_{2}^{n}+\cdots c_{k} p_{k}^{n}
$$

* provided the poles are distinct
- If any pole has magnitude $>1$, the system is unstable.
- For complex poles, the conjugate pairs combine to give components that oscillate with frequency determined by the angle of the pole


## Generalizing the story (more)

- When poles not distinct $\qquad$
- To arbitrary inputs
- To signals that originate in the indefinite past (audio processing)
- To two dimensional signals (image processing)
- To continuous as well as discrete systems

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Feedback combination $\qquad$
$\qquad$


Black's formula

$$
H=\frac{H_{1}}{1+H_{1} H_{2}}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


$$
\frac{d}{D_{\text {desired }}}=\frac{H_{\text {control }} H_{\text {robot }}}{1+H_{\text {control }} H_{\text {robot }}}
$$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Last week you used $H_{\text {control }}=k$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


[^0]:    See the course notes for the computation

