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## Uncertainty

We have used the idea of state space to plan trajectories from a starting state to a goal. $\qquad$
We assumed:

- We knew the initial state
- Actions were executed without error

Unfortunately, things are not as ideal in real systems


| Uncertainty |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


- Lecture
- Probabilistic model of the state space
- Probabilistic model of the observations
- Lab
- Modeling the effect of actions


## Probability

Probability theory allow us to assign numerical assessments of uncertainty to possible events.
$\mathrm{U}=$ universe $=$ set of all possible atomic events
Atomic event $=$ an outcome

## Axioms

- $P(\mathrm{U})=1$
- $P(\})=0$
- $P(A \cup B)=P(A)+P(B)-P(A \cap B)$


## Discrete Random Variables

A discrete random variable $X$ takes a discrete set of values $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ with probabilities $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$

## Examples

- Fair coin: $X=\{$ head : 0.5 , tails : 0.5$\}$
- Biased coin: $X=\{$ head $: 0.6$, tails : 0.4$\}$

Question: what is an atomic event when we flip two coins?

| Discrete Random Variables |
| :--- |
| A discrete random variable $X$ takes a discrete set of values <br> $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ with probabilities $\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| Examples |
| - Fair coin: $\mathrm{X}=\{$ head : 0.5 , tails $: 0.5\}$ |
| - Biased coin: $\mathrm{X}=\{$ head $: 0.6$, tails : 0.4$\}$ |
| Question: what is an atomic event when we flip two coins? |
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## Pairs of random variables

We can consider two random variables together to understand how they interact.

C = cavity : \{True, False $\}$ A = Toothache : \{True, False $\}$

The event space is the cartesian product of the value spaces of the variables

$$
C \times A=\{(T, T),(T, F),(F, T),(F, F)\}
$$

## Joint Distribution

The joint distribution is a function from elements of the product space to probabilities

$$
C \times A=\{(T, T),(T, F),(F, T),(F, F)\}
$$

|  |  | C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | T | F |  |
| T | 0.05 | 0.05 |  |  |
|  | F | 0.1 | 0.8 |  |

$P(A=F, C=T)=0.1$
$P(A=T, C=T)=0.8$
$P(A=T, C=T)+P(A=F, C=T)+P(A=F, C=T)+P(A=F, C=F)=1$


## Conditional Probability

What is the probability of having a cavity if the patient has toothache?

$$
P(C=T \mid A=T)=?
$$

We are only uncertain about the value of $C$ $\qquad$

|  | C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $T$ | $F$ |  |
| A | 0.05 | 0.05 |  |
|  | $F$ | 0.1 | 0.8 |

$$
P(C=T \mid A=T)=\frac{P(C=T, A=T)}{P(A=T)}=\frac{0.05}{0.1}=0.5
$$

## Bayes' Rule

$$
P\left(E_{1} \mid E_{2}\right)=\frac{P\left(E_{2} \mid E_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(E_{1}\right)}{P\left(E_{2}\right)}
$$

Verification:


$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P\left(E_{1} \mid E_{2}\right)=\frac{P\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right)}{P\left(E_{2}\right)} \\
P\left(E_{2} \mid E_{1}\right)=\frac{P\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right)}{P\left(E_{1}\right)}
\end{array}\right\} P\left(E_{1} \mid E_{2}\right) \cdot P\left(E_{2}\right)=P\left(E_{2} \mid E_{1}\right) \cdot P\left(E_{1}\right)
$$
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## Sequences

We want to consider the case in which we have a sequence of states (random variables)

The random variables could represent:

- Position of the robot at time $t$
- A word at position $t$ within a sentence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Last week, we introduced the idea of a state space, and its use for } \\
& \text { planning trajectories from some starting state to a goal. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## A Model for the 6.01 course notes

> Last week, we introduced the idea of a state space, and its use for planning trajectories from some starting state to a goal. Our assumptions in that work were that we knew the initial state, and that the actions could be executed without error. That is a useful idealization in many cases, but it is also very frequently false. Even navigation through a city can fail on both counts: sometimes we don't know where we are on a map, and sometimes, due to traffic or road work or bad driving, we fail to execute a turn we had intended to take.
> In such situations, we have some information about where we are: we can make observations of our local surroundings, which give us useful information; and we know what actions we have taken and the consequences those are likely to have on our location. So, the question is: how can we take information from a sequence of actions and local observations and integrate it into some sort of estimate of where we are? What form should that estimate take?

We will consider this text as a sequence of random variables: $W_{t}$
Each variable is one word $W_{t}$ which can take any value within a Dictionary.

## A Model for the 6.01 course notes

1) Faculty select words from the 6.01 dictionary
state stable programming python conditional
2) Each word is selected randomly with some probability
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W}=$ "Stable" $)=0.1$ ?
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W}=$ "Stable" $)+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W}=$ "programming" $)+\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W}=$ "python" $)+\ldots=1$
3) The memoryless model of a 6.01 faculty:

To build a sequence, each word is selected independently of the previous word.

## Estimation of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W})$



## Estimation of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W})$

Number of words $=179$


We aren't done yet, we need to translate counts into probabilities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}=\text { "a") } \approx \frac{\text { Counts ("a") }}{\text { Number of words }}=7 / 179=0.039\right. \\
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}=\text { "about") } \approx \frac{\text { Counts ("about") }}{\text { Number of words }}=1 / 179=0.0056\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

This estimation guarantees that

$$
\sum \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=1
$$

w, in Dictionary
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## Generating Text

To we the we city the useful a actions actions question to those can fail give a we and or we cases, take from planning In idea state to actions are In information is and a a without we What of some are the a planning navigation counts: state we of are: likely turn we Our sometimes, and can had work know taken and $\qquad$ we know road sort a is driving, road of So, idea should have we for are a navigation some where know can where it surroundings, the planning our that have actions a local or taken false.

## Properties of the memoryless model

- Words are drawn independently
$P\left(W_{0}=w_{0}, W_{1}=w_{1}, \ldots, W_{N}=w_{N}\right)=P\left(W_{0}=w_{0}\right) \cdot P\left(W_{1}=w_{1}\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot P\left(W_{N}=W_{N}\right)$
Independence assumption
- Under this model, the probability of a sentence does not depend on word order!

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sentences are bags of words | is |
| P ("the system is instable") $=\mathrm{P}$ ("is system the instable") |  |
|  | instable |

## Sequences

Each paragraph is a sequence of words

$$
w_{0}, w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots w_{N}
$$

$\qquad$
How do we decide which word to add next?

The words are not independent
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}+1}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{N}+1} \mid \mathrm{W}_{0}=\mathrm{w}_{0}, \mathrm{~W}_{1}=\mathrm{w}_{1}, \ldots \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \neq \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{N}+1}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{N}+1}\right)$
But, capturing all the dependencies is too complicated.
We need a simple approximation that still captures properties of the text without requiring a full model of our brains.

## The bigram model of 6.01 course notes

Faculty starts a paragraph by randomly selecting one word from the dictionary:

Initial state distribution

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{0}=\mathrm{w}_{0}\right)
$$

Each word depends only on the previous word:
State transition model
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}+1}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}+1} \mid \mathrm{W}_{0}=\mathrm{w}_{0}, \mathrm{~W}_{1}=\mathrm{w}_{1}, \ldots \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}+1}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}+1} \mid \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$
Markov sequence

## The bigram model of 6.01 course notes

To build this model we need to estimate:

1) Initial state distribution

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{0}=\mathrm{w}_{0}\right)
$$

2) State transition model

$\qquad$
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$\qquad$
The number of parameters in the model is: Nwords + (Nwords) ${ }^{2}$

## Bigram: Initial state distribution
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## Bigram: Transition Model

[^0]
## Bigram: Transition Model



Counts ("we", word)


## Estimation of state transition model

Number of words $=179$
Number of distinct words $=112$

| CCounts ("we" word) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\square$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| a about actions | $\square$ | $\square$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\right.$ "a" $\mid \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}-1}=$ "we") $\approx \frac{\text { Counts ("we", "a") }}{\text { Counts ("we") }}=0 / 13=0$
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\right.$ "are" $\mid \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}-1}=$ "we") $\approx \frac{\text { Counts ("we", "are") }}{\text { Counts ("we") }}=2 / 13=0.15$
$\sum \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{w} \mid \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}-1}=\right.$ " $\left.w e^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$
win Dictionary

Generating Text
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## Generating text

We don't know what actions and the actions we had intended to execute a goal. Our assumptions in many cases, but it into some sort of our local observations and its use for planning trajectories from some information about where we are on our location. So, the initial state, and integrate it is also very frequently false. Even navigation through a goal. Our assumptions in many cases, but it into some sort of actions and sometimes, due to execute a turn we fail to execute a state to have some sort of our location. So, the question is: how can ...

## Comparison of the two models

Sentence $=\left\{W_{0}, W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{N}\right\}$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Bigram model


Markov assumption
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When is a model good enough / useful?

## Noisy Observations
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The + system + has + negative + (8 letters word)

## Observation Model

The sentence is a sequence of words, but now the words are hidden. We only observe something that depends on the hidden words. $\qquad$

Observation Model: $\qquad$

$$
P\left(O_{t}=o_{t} \mid W_{t}=w_{t}\right)
$$

If observations are the number of letters on a word:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}=\text { length word } \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}} \\
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}=1 \mid \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\text { "the" }\right)=0 \\
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}=2 \mid \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\text { "the" }\right)=0 \\
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}=3 \mid \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\text { "the" }\right)=1
\end{gathered}
$$

## Estimation of the Hidden State

Let's start with the memoryless faculty model: words are independent of each other.

Can we predict the hidden word?

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{5}=\mathrm{w}_{5} \mid \mathrm{O}_{5}=8\right)=?
$$



Intuition:

1. Select all words of 8 letters in the dictionary.
2. Then, take their frequencies and normalize them so that they sum to 1.
3. Select the word with the highest probability.

## Estimation of the Hidden State

Intuition:

1. Select all words of 8 letters in the dictionary.
2. Then, take their frequencies and normalize them so $\qquad$ that they sum to 1.
3. Select the word with the highest probability. $\qquad$
With math:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}} \mid \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}=8\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}=8 \mid \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}=8\right)} \\
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}=8\right)=? \\
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}=8\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}=8 \mid \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{w}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{w}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Estimation of the Hidden State

We need a good model of 6.01 , so I will use all the course notes:
53181 words, and 7463 distinct words



## Hidden Markov Model

- Initial State Distribution

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{0}=\mathrm{w}_{0}\right)
$$

- State Transition Model (Bigram model)

$$
P\left(W_{t}=w_{t} \mid W_{t-1}=w_{t-1}\right)
$$

## - Observation Model

$$
P\left(O_{t}=o_{t} \mid W_{t}=w_{t}\right)
$$

## Estimation of the Hidden State



$$
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{5}=\mathrm{w}_{5} \mid \mathrm{O}_{5}=8, \mathrm{~W}_{4}=\text { "negative" }\right)=?
$$

Bayes' rule
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{5}=\mathrm{W}_{5} \mid \mathrm{O}_{5}=8, \mathrm{~W}_{4}=\right.$ "negative" $) \stackrel{\downarrow}{=}$

$$
=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{5}=8 \mid \mathrm{W}_{5}=\mathrm{W}_{5}, \mathrm{~W}_{4}=\text { "negative" }\right) \cdot \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{5}=\mathrm{w}_{5} \mid \mathrm{W}_{4}=\text { "negative" }\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{5}=8 \mid \mathrm{W}_{4}=\text { "negative" }\right)}
$$
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$$
=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{5}=8 \mid \mathrm{W}_{5}=\mathrm{W}_{5}\right) \cdot \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{5}=\mathrm{w}_{5} \mid \mathrm{W}_{4}=\text { "negative" }\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{5}=8 \mid \mathrm{W}_{4}=\text { "negative" }\right)}
$$
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## Estimation of the Hidden State

$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{5}=\mathrm{W}_{5} \mid \mathrm{O}_{5}=8, \mathrm{~W}_{4}=\right.$ "negative" $)=$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{5}=8 \mid \mathrm{W}_{5}=\mathrm{W}_{5}\right) \cdot \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{5}=\mathrm{W}_{5} \mid \mathrm{W}_{4}=\text { "negative" }\right)}{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{5}=8 \mid \mathrm{W}_{4}=\right.\text { "negative") }} \\
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{5}=8 \mid \mathrm{W}_{4}=\text { "negative" }\right)=?
\end{gathered}
$$

As before, we can calculate this with values we already know $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{5}=8 \mid \mathrm{W}_{4}=\right.$ "negative" $)=\sum_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{O}_{5}=8 \mid \mathrm{W}_{5}=\mathrm{w}\right) \cdot \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{W}_{5}=\mathrm{w} \mid \mathrm{W}_{4}=\right.$ "negative") $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Estimation of the Hidden States



$$
o_{1}=3, o_{2}=6, o_{3}=3, o_{4}=7, o_{5}=8
$$

## Applications

- Noisy image of an object
- Speech recognition $\qquad$
- Robot localization
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[^0]:    Last week, we introduced the idea of a state space, and its use for planning trajectories from some starting state to a goal. Our assumptions in that work were that we knew the initial state, and that the actions could be executed without error. That is a useful idealization in many cases, but iti is also very frequently false. Even navigation through a city can fail on both counts: sometimes we don't know where we are on a map, and sometimes, due to traffic or road work or bad driving, weffaillto execute a turn we had intended to take.
    In such situations, we have some information about where we are we can make observations of our local surroundings, which give us useful information; and we know what actions we have taken and the consequences those are likely to have on our location. So, the question is: how can weltake information from a sequence of actions and local observations and integrate it into some sort of estimate of whereweare? What form should that estimate take?

