Problem Set 1

This problem set is divided into two parts: Part A problems are theory questions, and Part B problems are programming tasks.

**Part A questions** are due **Tuesday, February 16th at 11:59PM**.

**Part B questions** are due **Thursday, February 18th at 11:59PM**.

Solutions should be turned in through the course website. Your solution to Part A should be in PDF form using \LaTeX or scanned handwritten solutions. Your solution to Part B should be a valid Python file which runs from the command line.

A template for writing up solutions in \LaTeX is available on the course website.

Remember, your goal is to communicate. Full credit will be given only to the correct solution which is described clearly. Convoluted and obtuse descriptions might receive low marks, even when they are correct. Also, aim for concise solutions, as it will save you time spent on write-ups, and also help you conceptualize the key idea of the problem.

---

**Part A: Due Tuesday, February 16th**

1. **(18 points) Asymptotic Growth**

   For each group of four functions below, rank the functions by increasing order of growth; that is, find an arrangement \( g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \) of the functions satisfying \( g_1 = O(g_2) \), \( g_2 = O(g_3) \), \( g_3 = O(g_4) \). (For example, the correct ordering of \( n, n^2, n^3, n^4 \) is \( n, n^2, n^3, n^4 \).)

   **(a) (6 points) Group 1:**
   
   \[ f_1 = 8 \sqrt{n} \quad f_2 = 1/n \quad f_3 = n^{6.006} \quad f_4 = \log n \]

   **(b) (6 points) Group 2:**
   
   \[ f_1(n) = n \log n \quad f_2(n) = \log(\log(n)) \quad f_3(n) = \left( \frac{n}{50} \right) \quad f_4(n) = n^{100} \]

   **(c) (6 points) Group 3:**
   
   \[ f_1(n) = 2^n \quad f_2(n) = n2^n \quad f_3(n) = 3^{n/2} \quad f_4(n) = n! \]

2. **(12 points) Binary Search**

   Binary search is a fast algorithm used for finding membership of an element in a sorted list. The iterative version of the algorithm is given below. The function takes a sorted list of numbers, \( \text{alist} \), and a query, \( \text{item} \), and returns true if and only if \( \text{item} \in \text{alist} \). Let \( n \) denote the length of the list \( \text{alist} \).
def binarySearch(alist, item):
    first = 0
    last = len(alist)-1
    found = False

    while first<=last and not found:
        midpoint = (first + last)/2
        if alist[midpoint] == item:
            found = True
        else:
            if item < alist[midpoint]:
                last = midpoint-1
            else:
                first = midpoint+1
    return found

(a) (5 points) What is the runtime of the iterative version in terms of \( n \), and why?

(b) (7 points) Write a concise proof of correctness for the algorithm. (Note: you may wish to use a loop invariant for your proof. See section 2.1 of CLRS for an example.)

3. (20 points) Uncoordinated Peak Finding

Consider the Peak finding problem discussed in lecture (also refer to Problem 1 in Part B). An excited 6.006 student comes up with the following algorithm to solve the problem:

1. Let \( n = \text{len}(	ext{row}(B)) \). Find the maximum element of the \( (n/2)^{th} \) column and call it \( c_{max} = B[i][n/2] \)

2. If \( c_{max} \geq B[i][n/2 - 1] \) and \( c_{max} \geq B[i][n/2 + 1] \) return \( c_{max} \)

3. If \( c_{max} < B[i][n/2 - 1] \) then \( B = B[0..(n-1)][0..n/2-1] \) else \( B = B[0..(n-1)][n/2+1..(n-1)] \)

4. Find the maximum element of the \( (n/2)^{th} \) row of \( B \) and call it \( r_{max} = B[n/2][j] \)

5. If \( r_{max} \geq B[n/2 - 1][j] \) and \( r_{max} \geq B[n/2 + 1][j] \) return \( r_{max} \)

6. If \( r_{max} < B[n/2 - 1][j] \) then \( B = B[0..n/2-1][0..n/2-1] \) else \( B = B[n/2+1..(n-1)][0..n/2-1] \)

7. goto Step 1.

(a) (10 points) Give a counterexample (an instance of \( B \) of size no larger than \( 7 \times 7 \)) where the above algorithm fails to find a peak in \( B \) even though it exists. (If your example is smaller than \( 7 \times 7 \) and does not have a well-defined middle row or middle column, state which rows/columns you use as the middle rows/columns.)
(b) (10 points) We can fix the above algorithm by keeping track of the running maximum $run_{max}$ as below. Explain how it solves the problem in the previous counterexample.

1. $run_{max} = -\infty$
2. Let $n = \text{len(row(B))}$. Find the maximum element of the $(n/2)^{th}$ column and call it $c_{max} = B[i][n/2]$
3. If $c_{max} \geq run_{max}$ then
   4. If $c_{max} \geq B[i][n/2 - 1]$ and $c_{max} \geq B[i][n/2 + 1]$ then return $c_{max}$
   5. If $c_{max} < B[i][n/2 - 1]$ then $run_{max} = B[i][n/2 - 1]$ else $run_{max} = B[i][n/2 + 1]$
   6. If $c_{max} < B[i][n/2 - 1]$ then $B = B[0..(n-1)][0..n/2-1]$ else $B = B[0..(n-1)][n/2+1..(n-1)]$
4. Else /* Update B to be the partition of B containing $run_{max}$ */
8. If $run_{max} \in B[0..(n-1)][0..n/2-1]$ then $B = B[0..(n-1)][0..n/2-1]$ else $B = B[0..(n-1)][n/2+1..(n-1)]$
9. Find the maximum element of the $(n/2)^{th}$ row of B and call it $r_{max} = B[n/2][j]$
10. If $r_{max} \geq run_{max}$ then
11. If $r_{max} \geq B[n/2 - 1][j]$ and $r_{max} \geq B[n/2 + 1][j]$ then return $r_{max}$
12. If $r_{max} < B[n/2 - 1][j]$ then $run_{max} = B[n/2 - 1][j]$ else $run_{max} = B[n/2 + 1][j]$
13. If $r_{max} < B[n/2 - 1][j]$ then $B = B[0..n/2-1][0..n/2]$ else $B = B[n/2+1..(n-1)][0..n/2]$
14. Else /* Update B to be the partition of B containing $run_{max}$ */
15. If $run_{max} \in B[1..n/2][1..n/2 - 1]$ then $B = B[0..n/2][0..n/2-1]$ else $B = B[n/2+1..(n-1)][0..n/2-1]$
16. goto Step 2.

Part B: Due Thursday, February 18th

1. (50 points) Peak Finding

Consider an array $A$ containing $n$ integers. We define a peak of $A$ to be an $x$ such that $x = A[i]$, for some $0 \leq i < n$, with $A[i - 1] \leq A[i]$ and $A[i] \geq A[i + 1]$. In other words, a peak $x$ is greater than or equal to its neighbors in $A$ (for boundary elements, there is only one neighbor). Note that $A$ might have multiple peaks.

As an example, suppose $A = [10, 6, 4, 3, 12, 19, 18]$. Then $A$ has two peaks: 10 and 19.

Note that the absolute maximum of $A$ is always a peak, but it requires $\Omega(n)$ time to compute.

- (20 points) Write quick_find_1d_peak to compute any peak of array $A$ in $O(\log(n))$ time using the algorithm described in the lecture.
Now consider a two dimensional matrix $B$ of integers of size $n \times n$. We define neighborhood of an element $x = B[i][j]$ as $B[i+1][j]$, $B[i-1][j]$, $B[i][j+1]$ and $B[i][j-1]$. For elements at the boundary, we consider only three neighbors and for elements on the 4 corners, only two neighbors are considered. $x$ is defined to be a peak of $B$ if and only if it is greater than or equal to all of its neighbours. Note that the maximum element of $B$ is a possible solution for $x$ but that requires $\Omega(n^2)$ time.

For python coding help, the $O(n \log(n))$ algorithm described in the lecture is provided as medium_find_2d_peak.

- **(30 points)** Write quick_find_2d_peak to compute any peak of array $B$ in $O(n)$ time using the algorithm described in the lecture.