# Modeling library complexity in DNA sequencing experiments with Julia

Mukarram Tahir Larson Hogstrom Andres Hasfura MIT 18.337 Fall 2015



Headers														
	@HD VN:1.5 SO:coordinate													
@SQ SN:ref LN:45														
		r001	99	ref	7	30	8M2I4M1D3M	=	37	39	TTAGATAAAGGATACTG	*		
		r002	0	ref	9	30	3S6M1P1I4M	*	0	0	AAAAGATAAGGATA	*		
_		r003	0	ref	9	30	556M	*	0	0	GCCTAAGCTAA	*	SA:Z:ref,29,-,6H5M,17,0;	
		r004	0	ref	16	30	6M14N5M	*	0	0	ATAGCTTCAGC	*		
		r003	2064	ref	29	17	6H5M	*	0	0	TAGGC	*	SA:Z:ref,9,+,5S6M,30,1;	
		r001	147	ref	37	30	9M	=	7	-39	CAGCGGCAT	*	NM:i:1	

SAM (BAM) file format

Alignment data (QNAME, FLAG, RNAME, POS, MAPQ, CIGAR, RNEXT, PNEXT, TLEN, SEQ, Q)

# Duplication events arise in DNA sequencing

PCR duplicates

**Optical duplicates** Read names have the following form: @identifier:lane:tile:x:y





# Optical and PCR duplication events arise at different rates as a sequencing experiment proceeds



# = sequencing error propagated in duplicates

# Complexity curve calculations through marking read duplicates



#### preseq.cpp

Complexity curve is constructed from BAM file using preseq

Code originally written in C++ by Smith lab at USC - directly interfaces with samtools for reading BAM files



Daley, Timothy, and Andrew D. Smith. "Predicting the molecular complexity of sequencing libraries." Nature methods 10.4 (2013): 325-327.

# preseq.jl



WORKER NODES

#### Speedup curves for various BAM file sizes



Number of cores

### Execution time breakdown (135 M BAM file)



Number of cores

#### error analysis



# Costs of Using Julia





# I/O Cost



# Contributions

- Parallelized read count calculation
- Implemented Julia wrapper for molecular complexity prediction package preseq.cpp
- Benchmarked molecular complexity calculation on 16 core machine, currently testing with larger data sets on production servers
- Analyzed portions of Julia language which hindered speedup
- Preseq reference: Daley, Timothy, and Andrew D. Smith. "Predicting the molecular complexity of sequencing libraries." Nature methods 10.4 (2013): 325-327.